IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JONATHAN RIVERA-PIEROLA,

Plaintiff,

Case Number

VS.

BOARD OF REGENTS for the OKLAHOMA

AGRICULTURAL and MECHANICAL

COLLEGES, et al.,

Defendant.)

VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF MARGI GILMOUR, DVM

TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

ON MAY 15, 2023

IN STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA

REPORTED BY: BRENDA SCHMITZ, CSR, RPR (VIA ZOOM)
CITY REPORTERS

14 Northeast 13th Street, Suite 101
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73104
(405)235-3376

Exhibit 11

May 15, 2023

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

```
2
                                                                                                                 4
 1 APPEARANCES:
                                                             1
                                                                            STIPULATIONS
                                                             2
                                                                   It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and
 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF (VIA ZOOM):
                                                                between the parties hereto, through their respective
       MR. JASON J. BACH
 4
                                                                attorneys, that the video conference deposition of
       Bach Law Firm
       7881 West charleston, Suite 165
                                                                 MARGI GILMOUR may be taken on behalf of the
       Las Vegas, NV 89117
                                                                Plaintiff on MAY 15, 2023, in the City of
       Tel ephone: 702. 925. 8787
                                                                Stillwater, Oklahoma by Brenda Schmitz, Certified
       Email: jbach@bachlawfirm.com
                                                                Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of
                                                             8
                                                                Oklahoma, and Registered Professional Reporter,
 9 FOR THE DEFENDANT (VIA ZOOM):
                                                                taken by notice pursuant to the State of Oklahoma
                                                            10
10
       MR. CLINTON W. PRATT
                                                            11
                                                                Rules of Civil Procedure.
       Office of Legal Counsel
                                                            12
                                                                   It is further stipulated and agreed by and
11
       Student Union, 5th Floor
                                                            13
                                                                between the parties hereto, through their respective
       Oklahoma State University
       Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078
12
                                                            14
                                                                attorneys, that all objections, except as to the
       Tel ephone: 405. 744. 6494
                                                            15
                                                                form of the question and the responsiveness of the
13
       Email: clint.pratt@okstate.edu
                                                                answer, are reserved until the time of trial, at
14
                                                            17
                                                                which time they may be made with the same force and
                        I NDEX
15
                                                                effect as if made at the time of the taking of this
16
                                                            18
       DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BACH......5
17
                                                            19
                                                                deposition.
       CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PRATT.....53
18
                                                            20
19
                                                            21
20
                                                            22
21
                                                            23
22
       Reporter's Certificate.....60
23
                                                            24
24
                                                            25
25
                                                      3
                                                                                                                 5
                   INDEX (CONTINUED)
 1
                                                                  And thereupon the following witness was produced
 2 Deposition Exhibits (marked at a later date)
                                                             2
                                                                by the Plaintiff:
       Number 1 Nominees for placement email......21
                                                             3
                                                                           MARGI GILMOUR,
       Number 2 Nominees for clinicals email......25
 4
                                                                the witness hereinbefore named, being first duly
       Number 3 Chris Ross/St. Matthew's emails....27
 5
                                                                cautioned and sworn remotely pursuant to Rule 34,
       Number 4 Student Grade for SAIM Rotation....27
 6
                                                                Title 12, Chapter 2, Appendix of the Oklahoma
       Number 5 Failed Rotation email......28
                                                                Supreme Court, to testify the truth, the whole
 8
       Number 6 (not used)......30
                                                                truth, and nothing but the truth, testified on her
       Number 7 Rivera-Pierola Advisee email......30
 9
                                                             9
                                                                oath as follows:
10
       Number 8 CP Rotation Problems email.......34
                                                            10
                                                                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
       Number 9 Notes from 3-11-20 meeting......37
11
                                                            11
                                                                BY MR. BACH:
       Number 10 3/21/20 Dr. Reichard Letter......38
12
       Number 11 3/23/20 Dismissal Recommendation..39
                                                            12
                                                                   Q. Can you state your name for the record,
13
                                                            13
                                                                please?
14
       Number 12 Email Re: PSC Protocol......39
                                                            14
15
       Number 13 Rivera appeal Letter.....40
                                                                   A. I'm sorry?
16
       Number 14 Email Re: Appeal to the Dean.....42
                                                            15
                                                                    Q. Can you state your name for the record,
       Number 15 4/6/20 letter from Gilmour.....44
17
                                                            16
                                                                please?
18
       Number 16 Early Termination of Anesthesia
                                                            17
                                                                   A. Margi Gilmour.
                  Rotation letter......46
                                                            18
                                                                   Q. All right. And have you ever had your
19
                                                            19
                                                                deposition taken before?
20
       Number 17 Emails Re: Early termination of
                                                            20
                                                                   A. Yes.
                  21
                                                                   Q. On -- on how many occasions?
21
                                                            22
                                                                   A. Once.
       Number 18 Email Re: Repeating rotation.....49
22
                                                            23
                                                                   Q. And how -- how long ago was that?
       Number 19 Letter Re: Dismissal.....51
23
                                                            24
                                                                   A. 30 years.
24
                                                            25
                                                                   Q. Okay. All right. Well, just to go over a
25
```

5

10

15

6

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

8

1 few of the ground rules, you understand that the

- 2 court reporter here today is taking down everything
- 3 that is said?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And you understand that the oath
- 6 that you took here today is the same oath that you
- 7 would take in court?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. My name is Jason Bach, and I'm the 10 attorney for -- for Jonathan Rivera-Pierola and I'm
- going to be asking you some questions here today,
- going to be asking you some questions here toda,
- $12\,\,$  and if I ask you a question that is incoherent or
- 13 that you don't understand, please feel free to ask
- 14 me to repeat it or rephrase it and I'll do my best
- 15 to make you understand or help you understand what
- 16 it is I'm asking.
- 17 It's very possible that I will ask bad
- 18 questions, so I may need to rephrase or -- or repeat
- 19 things from -- from time to time. However, if I ask
- 20 you a question and you answer the question, I'm
- 21 going to assume that you understood the question, is
- 22 that -- is that fair?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If you answer a question with a
- 25 uh-huh or huh-uh or something like that, I may ask

- Q. Are you -- are you currently employed?
- 2 A. No, I am not, I'm retired.
- 3 Q. Okay. What was the last employment that 4 you had?
  - A. At the Oklahoma State University College
- 6 of Veterinary Medicine, I was the Associate Dean for
- 7 Academic Affairs.
- 8 Q. And for how long were you in -- in that 9 position?
  - A. I was interim for two years, and then I
- 11 was Associate Dean for three and a half before
- 12 retirement.
- Q. Okay. What was your -- do you have a position at the school before that?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. What was your position then?
- 17 A. Professor of ophthalmology.
- 18 Q. And -- and how long were you in -- in that
- 19 role for?
- A. I was -- let's see, let me do some math,
- 21 at the university for 20 years, so I was in that
- 22 position for 16 years.
- Q. I see. You said that you were at the
- 24 university for 20 years; is that right?
- 25 A. Total, yeah.

./

- 1 you, "Is that yes, is that no", it's not that I'm
- 2 trying to be rude or anything, I'm just trying to
- 3 make a clear record here -- here today, okay?
- A. Understood.
- Q. Did you review any documents to preparefor your deposition today?
- 7 A. I did.
- 8 Q. What did you review?
- 9 A. I reviewed some e-mails, I reviewed the
- 10 PSC summary reports, and I reviewed the notes that I
- 11 had regarding the two meetings I had with Jonathan.
- 12 That's it.
- Q. Okay. And you had mentioned a PSE, can
- 14 you tell us what that -- what that stands for?
- 15 A. Professional Standards Committee.
- Q. Other than one of the attorneys from the school, have you spoken with anyone about your
- 18 deposition here today?
- 19 A. Just that I'm giving one.
- Q. Okay. Who -- who did you speak to about that?
- A. My significant other, and two of my best
- 23 friends.
- Q. Okay. Anyone at the -- at the university?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Can you just give me a brief rundown of your education after high school?
- 3 A. Yes. After high school graduation, I
- 4 obtained my bachelor's degree in zoology from
- 5 Michigan State University. Following that, I went
- 6 to veterinary school at Michigan State University
- 7 and obtained my doctor of veterinary medicine
- 8 degree.

- 9 Q. And what -- what year was that?
- 10 A. 1986.
- 11 Q. And as I mentioned, we're here concerning
- 12 a lawsuit that Jonathan Rivera-Pierola has brought
- 13 against the -- the university. Do you have a
- 14 recollection of Jonathan?
  - A. Yes, to a very small degree.
- Q. When's the first time that you recall meeting him?
- 18 A. I actually don't remember our meeting. I
- 19 can't -- I can't say I remember the meeting, but I
- 20 know I met with him because I had the notes from the meeting, if that makes sense.
- Q. Sure, sure. And you reviewed those notes
- before your deposition here today?A. I did, yes.
- Q. Did that refresh your recollection as to

15

17

24

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

12

13

10

## 1 what you met with him about?

- 2 A. Yes, what I met with him about, yes. But
- 3 again, details, no.
- 4 Q. Okay. Can you tell me what you remember 5 from that meeting?
  - A. From the first meeting?
- 7 O. Yes.

6

19

- 8 A. I really don't have firsthand memory, but
- 9 from my notes, what we spoke about was his grade in
- 0 the small animal internal medicine rotation, and
- 11 usually what the discussion entails is the student
- 12 will tell me what happened, their perspective, and
- 13 then I try to guide them as far as helping give them
- 14 pointers for how to do better, or improve in their
- 15 ensuing clinical rotations, just kind of an extra
- 16 guidance there.
- Q. Do you have the understanding that 18 Jonathan was a student from -- from St. Matthew's?
  - A. Yes, I did know that.
- Q. To your knowledge, had you -- had you
- 21 dealt with students from St. Matthew's in the past,
- 22 before Jonathan?
- A. When you say "dealt with," we had students
- 24 enrolled from St. Matthew's. My role, as I became
- 25 the full Associate Dean, was to review transcripts.

- 1 Matthew's tended to do as well as OSU students or
  - 2 did they do not as well or did they do better than
  - **3 OSU students in the program?**
  - A. The St. Matthew's students did not do as
  - 5 well in the clinical year as the OSU students.
    - Q. Why did OSU accept students from St.

## 7 Matthew's?

- A. I don't know what went into that initial
- 9 contract with St. Matthew's. We do take students
- 10 from offshore schools, because they need a clinical
- 11 year, but I -- I'm not familiar with what went into
- 12 that decision.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if OSU ended their relationship with St. Matthew's?
  - A. Yes, they did.
- 16 Q. Do you know why?
  - A. Yes. We were not getting many applicants,
- 18 and also, the ones we did have in our program
- 19 struggled more in our clinical program than the
- 20 other students.
- 21 Q. Now, Jonathan was eventually dismissed
- 22 from the program; is that right?
- A. Yes, that's right.
  - Q. Were there any other students during your
- 25 time there from St. Matthew's who were also

11

- 1 Other than that, I generally -- I gave a welcome at
- 2 their orientation, but after that, I didn't really
- 3 interact with the students.
- Q. Were you involved at all with -- with the relationship that -- that OSU had with St.
- 6 Matthew's?
- 7 A. The contract, I was not part of, the only
- 8 relationship I had was, again, receiving the
- 9 transcripts, looking at those, and then if any of
- 10 the students had any academic difficulty, I would be
- 11 the one that would communicate that to their
- 12 Associate Dean.

- Q. So was it ultimately your decision whether to accept a student from St. Matthew's or not?
- 15 A. Yes. It -- when I would -- I say that,
- 16 when I was interim for a period, Dr. Ross was making
- 17 that decision, and then I was slowly moving into
- 18 that role. And then once I became the full
- 19 Associate Dean, yes, I would look at the transcripts
- 20 and I would make the decision.
- Q. Were there ever any students from St. 22 Matthew's that you denied admission to?
- A. Not that I remember. But I can't -- I
- 24 can't say 100 percent, I don't, yeah.
  - Q. Okay. Do you recall if students from St.

- 1 dismissed?
- 2 A. I know there were -- there were a couple
- other students dismissed, I don't -- I honestly
- 4 don't remember if they were St. Matthew's or one of
- 5 the other offshore schools.
- 6 Q. How many students from St. Matthew's would 7 you have in your program at any given time?
- A. We would have two to potentially five, I
- 9 would say, in any given area of 12 months.
- Q. When Jonathan was there, do you recall how many other students from St. Matthew's were in the
  - many other students from St. Matthew's were in the program?
- 12 **program?**13 A. No
  - A. No, I do not.
- Q. So you had mentioned that you had met with Jonathan the first time because he didn't receive a
- 16 passing grade in this small --
- 17 A. Small animal internal medicine.
- 18 Q. There you go. And was he allowed to
- 19 continue in the program after he did not pass that
- 20 rotation?
- 21 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. And did there come a point in time when
- 23 you met with Jonathan for a second time?
- A. Our second meeting was after he failed the
- 25 community practice rotation. He had, I think -- I

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

16

17

14

- think we're going to talk again about strategies, I
- think he wanted to talk about a potential appeal, 2
- and then because it was the second failure, normally
- those students will meet with the Professional
- Standards Committee, and I would normally meet with
- any student before that to try and coach them and
- give them some strategy on how to best present
- themselves in front of the Professional Standards
- 9
- 10 Q. And did you do that with Jonathan, give him any strategy ideas? 11
- A. I did. 12
- 13 Q. And so he met with the PSC; is that right?
- 14 A. He did, yes.
- 15 Q. And did the PSC make a recommendation as to what should happen with Jonathan? 16
- A. Their initial recommendation was that he 17
- be dismissed from the program following that second
- 19
- 20 Q. And did -- did Jonathan appeal that 21 decision?
- 22 A. Yes, he did.
- 23 Q. Okay. And what's involved with appealing 24 that decision?
- 25 A. I can't -- I can't remember all the exact

- sorry, it's been a long while -- the process after
- the student submits that appeal letter, I do know
- the Professional Standards Committee met again, so,
- at the bequest of the Dean, so he must have asked
- the Professional Standards Committee to review their
- decision, because there was another meeting.
  - Q. After they reviewed their decision, what was their -- their recommendation at that point?
- A. They still stood by the dismissal.
- 10 Q. Who has the ultimate authority to decide 11 who's dismissed and who's not?
- 12 A. The Dean.
- 13 O. And what did the Dean decide in this 14 situation?
- 15 A. He decided to give Jonathan another chance
- and so -- under certain stipulations, and so
- Jonathan was allowed back into the program. 17
- 18 Q. Did you agree with that decision?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Why not?
- 21 A. I believed that everything the
- Professional Standards Committee had outlined was 22
- accurate, and that their decision was in the best 23
- 24 interests of Jonathan and the profession.
- 25 Q. Did the Dean share with you why he was

15

- 1 details on -- on days, but how this usually goes is,
- a Professional Standards Committee will give a
- recommendation, and if that is dismissal, or not, 3
- it's my job to communicate that decision to both the
- student and to the Dean. And I communicated to 5
- 6 Jonathan that the recommendation was for dismissal,
- 7 and then he has -- the student has the option to
- appeal that decision, and he makes that appeal to
- 9 the Dean.
- 10 Q. And is that something that is done in writing? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And so did -- did Jonathan submit an 14 appeal to the Dean then?
- 15 A. He did appeal to the Dean.
- 16 Q. And did you have any conversations with 17 the Dean about that appeal?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 Q. Do you recall if the Dean met with 20 Jonathan?
- 21 A. I don't know if he met with Jonathan.
- 22 Q. Do you recall if the Dean asked the
- 23 committee to reevaluate their -- their
- recommendation to a dismissal? 24
- 25 A. I don't -- now, I don't remember the --

- allowing Jonathan to -- to continue on?
- A. No, I don't -- not that I remember having
- a conversation about that, no.
- Q. You had mentioned that Jonathan was
- allowed to stay in the program with some
- stipulations; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you recall what those stipulations
- 9 were?
- A. Well, one is that he would have to sit 10
- out, or I think he was in a rotation, so he would
- finish that rotation, but then sit out until he
- could successfully complete the two failed 13
- 14
- 15 Q. And -- and this happened to be right when
- the rotations were -- were moving to online or
- remote learning; is that -- is that right? 17
- A. I don't actually remember, in a couple of 18
- the e-mails it sounded like, yes, that they were --19
- we were somewhere in the COVID business. 20
- Q. Okay. Who came up with the stipulations 21
- 22 that Jonathan had to have reached in order to remain
- 23 in the program?
  - A. The Dean.
- 25 Q. And as we've already briefly discussed,

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

18

Jonathan was eventually dismissed from the program;

- 2 is that right?
  - A. Yes.

3 4

- Q. Why was he dismissed?
- A. I believe somewhere in the stipulations,
- he had to successfully complete all the rotations
- with a C or better, and there was not going to be an
- option of an appeal, should he fail a rotation. And
- when he did come back, he failed another rotation,
- the anesthesia rotation.
- O. And who was the instructor for that 11 12 rotation?
- 13 A. Dr. Di Concetto.
- 14 Q. Do you recall there being, you know, some sort of incident that had took place at the end of that rotation and the rotation was ended early?
- A. I do from the e-mails that I reviewed. 17
- Q. Okay. What -- what happened during that 18 19 rotation that caused it to end early?
- 20 A. I remember hearing, this was secondhand,
- but at that time, they were doing a lot of their 21
- rounds or these discussions, whatever, virtually, so
- they would be on a Zoom or something similar. And a
- student apparently thought they were muted, and they
- weren't, and made a derogatory comment that upset

- Q. And did you have a say in -- as to whether or not that would be allowed?
  - A. I -- Dr. Di Concetto suggested it, he
- never went beyond that, but what I always counsel
- all faculty is, they must stick to their syllabus,
- which is the contract with all the students, follow
- the syllabus and be sure that all the students are
- treated equally. 8
- And so that was my recommendation, and I -- I
- 10 understand where he was coming from, faculty, you
- know, faculty have hearts, but ultimately, it's very
- important, in order to be fair to all students, that 12
- 13 the syllabus be adhered to.
- 14 Q. And because of the stipulations that were 15 placed on Jonathan, he didn't have the right to 16 appeal that decision; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. And that's what ultimately led to his 19
  - dismissal; is that right?
- 20 A. Failure of that rotation did.
- 21 Q. All right. So I have some exhibits here I 22 wanted to go through with you.
- 23 MR. BACH: And, Clint, were you able to 24 get those?
- 25 MR. PRATT: Yes.

19

- 1 Dr. Di Concetto. And he was upset and he -- that
- was the last day of the rotation, and it was already
- in the afternoon or Friday afternoon and he just 3
- said, you know, we'll -- we'll just end it here.
- 5 That's my understanding.
- 6 Q. And did you ever find out who the student 7 was who -- who made that comment?
  - A. Not that I remember.
- 9 Q. And that was the -- the rotation, the 10 final rotation that Jonathan did not receive a
- 11 passing score; is that -- is that correct?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Do you recall Dr. Di Concetto contacting 13 14 you about Jonathan's score in that -- in that 15 rotation?
- 16 A. Yes. If a student fails, the instructor
- will contact me and let me know that a student 17
- 18 failed a rotation.
- 19 Q. Did Dr. Di Concetto suggest to you that Jonathan be given another opportunity in -- in 20
- 21 his -- in his rotation?
- 22 A. He offered that as -- as an option because
- 23 of the circumstances, you know, being in COVID and
- 24 not having a hands on, and -- and so on and so
- 25 forth. Yes, he did.

- MR. BACH: I apologize it took so long,
- but with no electricity, it made it a little more
- difficult than usual.
- 4 MR. PRATT: That's okay, I think we have
- 5 them.

1

10

17

- 6 BY MR. BACH:
- 7 Q. Okay. All right. So I want to start with
- Exhibit Number 1, which is Board 353 to 354. Do you
- have that in front of you?
  - A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Let me know when you've had an opportunity 12
- MR. NARVAEZ: Mr. Bach, this is Larry at 13
- 14 City Reporters, did you want me to bring that
- 15 exhibit up?
- 16 MR. BACH: I think we're -- we're probably
- 17 good, but, Clint, if there's an issue and you need
- him to put it on the screen, we should be able to do 18
- 19 that, okay?
- 20 MR. PRATT: Okay. As long as we're able
- 21 to identify we're looking at the same document, I
- 22 don't think there's a need to pull it up.
- MR. NARVAEZ: Okay. I'll be here, just 23
- holler out if you need me. 24
- 25 MR. BACH: Okay.

City Reporters (405)235 - 3376

20

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

24

25

22

```
1
       MR. NARVAEZ: Okay, sir.
```

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.

3 BY MR. BACH:

4 Q. All right. Do you recognize this

5 document?

6

9

18

A. I don't have a great memory of it, but obviously they're my e-mails.

7 Q. And these are e-mails in August of 2018; 8

10 A. Yes.

is that right?

Q. And these are the initial e-mails, an 11

12 e-mail from St. Matthew's, it looks like,

recommending two different students for placement

at -- at OSU, is that -- is that fair?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Is that normal for them to contact you in 17 August of the year before the student would begin?

A. I don't -- I don't really remember, I'm

19 sorry, the -- the timeframe. All the schools have

to notify us before they -- the students had 20

21 completed their last semester because they had to

make these placements in advance, so I'm -- I assume

23 this was normal.

24 Q. On the first page, there about halfway

down, there appears to be an e-mail from you on

A. Associate Dean before me and then he was

2 Interim Dean.

Q. And when did you become Associate Dean?

4 A. Full Associate Dean?

Q. Well, let's -- let's take both. When did

you become interim and then when did you become a

permanent?

5

8

17

A. Somewhere in 2018, I believe I became

permanent.

10 Q. Do you know why Jonathan was allowed to

11 join your program considering the concerns that you

had about him? 12

A. Dr. Ross, I believe, made the ultimate 13

call, and at that time, he would look, usually, at a

cutoff of GPA, so I'm assuming that Jonathan met the

16 GPA cutoff.

Q. In the e-mail right above yours from

Dr. Ross, his last sentence says, "Also, did we not

19 agree that we were going to pull back from SMU."

20 Did you see that?

21 A. Yes.

Q. Did you have a conversation with him about 22

23 not allowing SMU students to attend your program?

24 A. We were having conversations because they

sent so few students to us and that the students did

23

**August 21st of 2018?** 

2 A. Uh-huh.

3 Q. Do you see that?

4 A. Yes.

Q. And it says in there, "I may be too late

since I seem to be entering later into this 6

7 conversation. But I would be cautious with

Rivera-Pierola because St. Matthew's students

struggle more than others in our program. He

received C's and C minus in pretty much all the

clinical courses." Is that -- is that your e-mail? 11

12

13 Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone 14 outside of this e-mail chain about your concerns?

15 A. Not to my recollection, no. It would have

16 been between me and Dr. Ross.

Q. Ultimately you -- it was your authorization that allowed Jonathan to attend your 18

program, right? 19

17

25

20 A. I think it was Dr. Ross making the call

21 then, but since he was involved, I don't really

22 remember when we switched, to be honest, and --

23 Q. And what was --

24 A. -- I was making the decision.

Q. What role was Dr. Ross in?

struggle more, clinically. He was doing, at that

point, most of the communications between St.

Matthew's and our school, so I know we had had that

discussion, but I can't tell you the exact time

frame when it started and when we concluded.

6 Q. Do you know why OSU was still accepting

students from St. Matthew's if there had been a

decision to not allow students from St. Matthew's to

9 attend?

10 A. Well, while we were still admitting the

11 students, that decision had not been made.

12 Q. Do you know when that decision was made?

13 A. It was once Dr. Risco was here, but I

14 don't remember the date. I'm sorry.

15 Q. When did Dr. Risco become Dean, if you 16 recall?

17 A. I don't remember.

Q. Do you know if it was in 2018 or 2019? 18

19 A. I'm sorry, I don't remember. I think it

20 was 2018, but --

21 Q. Sure, okay. All right. We can move on to

22 Exhibit Number 2, which is Board 355 through 356,

and let me know when you've had an opportunity to

review that. 24

25 A. Okay.

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

28

26

- Q. And a lot of this is the same as Exhibit
- 2 Number 1.
- 3 A. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. I'd like to call your attention to the
- 5 short e-mail at the very top of the first page here
- 6 from Dr. Ross to you. Do you recall receiving this
- 7 e-mail?
- 8 A. I don't recall, but clearly I did.
- 9 Q. So Dr. Ross says, "I can see letting SMU
- 10 know about our concerns in this case, maybe imposing
- 11 a GPA limit on the applications going forward.
- 12 Bringing up Rivera-Pierola's GPA would get the" --
- 13 I'm sorry, "would get the discussion started." Do
- 14 you recall any conversations with St. Matthew's
- 15 about -- about Jonathan in particular?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Do you recall having any conversations or
- 18 being a part of any conversations with St. Matthew's
- 19 about a grade point average minimum?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Do you believe that that's something that
- 22 Dr. Ross had established with them, with St.
- 23 Matthew's?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- Q. Is Dr. Ross still with the university?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And is this when you would have met with
  - Jonathan for the first time?
- 4 A. No. Typically I would not meet with a
- 5 student with their first fail.
- Q. But you did meet with him in this -- in
- 7 this situation, did you not?
  - A. Yes, per his request, I believe.
- Q. On the second page of this exhibit, it
- 10 appears to be an e-mail from you asking who
- 11 Jonathan's advisor is; is that right?
  - A. Yes.

8

12

- Q. Did you speak with Jonathan's advisor
- 14 about this?
- 15 A. No, I will typically e-mail the advisor
- 16 when a student fails a rotation and just bring them
- 17 into the loop, so that they know, and I'll often
- 18 suggest that they meet with them, just in case they
- 19 don't think of it themselves.
- Q. And in this case, did you contact his
- 21 advisor?

25

8

- A. I don't recall, but I know from review of
- 23 e-mails, I did let Dr. Holyoak know that Jonathan
- 24 had failed a rotation.
  - Q. Okay. All right. If we go to Exhibit

27

- 1 A. Dr. Ross is deceased.
- Q. Okay. All right. Will you take a look at
- 3 Exhibit Number 3, it's just one page. It's Board
- 4 357.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. All right. Do you recall seeing this
- 7 e-mail at the top of this page from Dr. Ross to --
- 8 it looks like a number of individuals at St.
- 9 Matthew's and Oklahoma State, including yourself?
- 10 A. I honestly don't recall it, but I know I
- 11 received it.
- Q. Okay. In this e-mail, Dr. Ross expresses
- 13 his concern about Jonathan to St. Matthew's. Do you
- 14 recall if Dr. Ross or anyone at OSU had any
- 15 additional conversations with St. Matthew's about
- 16 these concerns?
- 17 A. I did not, and I don't know if anyone else
- 18 did.
- 19 Q. All right. We can move on to Exhibit
- 20 number 4, which is 4580 through 581. Let me know
- 21 when you've had a chance to do read through that.
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. So, is this the e-mail you received when
- 24 Jonathan did not receive a passing score on his
- 25 first rotation?

- 1 Number 5, which is 4598. Let me know when you've
- 2 had a chance to review that.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. At the bottom of this page, there -- this
- 5 appears to be an e-mail from you to Mason Reichard;
- 6 is that right?
- 7 A. Reichard, yes.
  - Q. Reichard, okay. And in this e-mail,
- 9 you're asking for the PSC to take a vote as to
- 10 whether or not to allow Jonathan to continue -- or
- 11 I'm sorry, to allow him to repeat his -- his
- 12 rotation; is -- is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 14 O. Is that normal?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 **O.** Is that --
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And it appears that it was agreed by the
- 19 PSC that he could repeat that rotation; is that
- 20 **right?**
- 21 A. Yes
- 22 MR. PRATT: Jason, I'm sorry, this is --
- 23 this is my fault. Did you ask her the question of
- 24 was that normal or was that abnormal? I'm sorry, I
- 25 couldn't --

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

32

30

- 1 MR. BACH: I said normal.
- 2 MR. PRATT: Okay. All right. My fault.
  - THE WITNESS: Yeah, okay, okay.
  - Q. We can skip Exhibit 6 here, we've already talked about that. All right. We go to Exhibit
- 6 Number 7, which is Board 638 through 642.
- 7 A. Okav.

3

4

5

8

- Q. Do you recall seeing this document before?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And if you go to the very last page of
- 11 this, there appears to be an e-mail from you, which
- 12 if you look at the page before that, indicates that
- 13 you sent it on October 1st of 2019. Is -- is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Oh, there it is, at the bottom. I'm
- 16 sorry.
- 17 **Q. That's okay.**
- 18 A. Yes. Still seems like I'm -- okay. Yes.
- 19 Yes. Yes, I'm -- got it.
- Q. Is there anything about this that doesn't
- 21 seem to be accurate, or --
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Is this -- this e-mail that you sent on
- 24 October 1st of 2019?
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. Dr. Holyoak also indicated in his e-mail
  - 2 that there seems to be some significant
  - 3 communication lapses, I believe, as in -- in all of
  - 4 these that there was a lack on both sides. Do you
  - 5 see that?
  - 6 A. Yes.
  - 7 Q. And then ultimately, in the e-mail above
  - 8 that, you then inquired as to -- you inquired about
  - 9 this; is that right?
  - 10 A. It seemed to me that when Jonathan spoke
  - 11 to Dr. Holyoak, there was a lot of focus on
  - 12 communication lapses, which is -- and Dr. Holyoak
  - 13 had asked him to come see me, so, yes, I wanted to
  - 14 have as much information about their communications
  - 15 with Jonathan in case I was to meet with him, yes.
  - Q. Is the point of the mid-block evaluation
     so that the student knows how they're progressing in
  - 18 the rotation?
  - 19 A. Yeah, mid-blocks can be used solely for
  - 20 that purpose, to advise the student what they're
  - 21 doing well, what they can improve on, and then some
  - 22 of us also used it to get feedback from the student
  - 23 on what we could do better to help them. So, yes.
  - Q. So, if you go to the front of this
  - 25 exhibit, eventually you received an e-mail from

31

- Q. Is this before or after you met with
- 2 Jonathan?

1

- 3 A. It would have -- it would have been
- 4 before, because these usually go right out to my
- 5 e-mails -- I'm sorry, to an advisor usually goes out
- 6 right away as soon as I'm notified that a student
- 7 has failed a rotation. So, this should -- this
- 8 should have been before I met with Jonathan.
- 9 Q. Okay. In the e-mail that you had received 10 or that you received later that day from
- 11 Dr. Holyoak, he is informing you that Jonathan did
- 12 not have a mid-block evaluation. Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Is that -- well, what is a mid-block
- 15 evaluation?
- 16 A. A mid-block evaluation is where the
- 17 students on a clinical rotation are given an
- 18 evaluation of their performance somewhere in the
- 19 middle of that rotation. So, these, I think, were
- 20 still three week rotations at this time, so it
- 21 usually would have been somewhere in the middle
- 22 during week two.
- Q. And is this something that the instructors
- 24 are -- are required to do?
- A. No, they are not.

- 1 Laura Nafe; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** And in that e-mail in the first paragraph
- 4 on the first page of this exhibit, she indicates
- 5 that she believed that Jonathan -- that there was
- 6 situations that he was dishonest. Is that fair to
- 7 **say?**

10

- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you speak with Jonathan about that?
  - A. I don't remember.
- 11 Q. Would that normally be something that you
- 12 spoke with a student about if an instructor raised
- 13 that concern to you?
- A. I guess it would depend on the students
- 15 and usually when I meet with them, I like to hear
- 16 their take on the rotation and their perception of
- 17 the evaluation, and have discussions based on that.
- the evaluation, and have discussions based on the
- Q. But you don't recall if you had any conversations with Jonathan about this allegation;
- 20 is that right?
- 21 A. I don't remember, no.
  - Q. Do you know if Jonathan ever had an
- 23 opportunity to respond to that allegation?
- A. Respond to -- to the instructors?
- 25 Q. Let me rephrase. Do you know if Jonathan

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

36

34

```
was ever notified that there was this allegationabout him being dishonest?
```

- 3 A. I don't know if that came up in their
- 4 discussion with him when they told him he was
- 5 receiving a D, so, I -- I don't know.
- 6 Q. So -- so Jonathan was allowed to continue
- 7 in the program after not passing his first rotation.
- **Was the next time that you recall hearing anything**
- 9 about Jonathan, is that when he did not pass the
- second rotation that he did not receive a passingscore on?
- 11 Score on:
- 12 A. That is my understanding, yes.
- Q. All right. So then let's move on to
- 14 Exhibit 8 here, which is 41034 through 1035.
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. Did you recall seeing these e-mails in the past?
- 18 A. Not until I reviewed them.
- 19 **Q.** Do you recall being made aware of there
- 20 being some sort of incident involving Jonathan and
- 21 Dr. Syp -- and I'm drawing a blank here, just give
- 22 me a second -- and Dr. DeMars?
- A. I do remember.
- Q. Did you ever have any conversations
- with -- with either one of those instructors about

- 1 action with these instructors after this incident?
- 2 A. I don't know, or I don't remember.
- 3 Q. He didn't tell you about any conversations
- 4 that he had with them about this?
  - A. Not that I remember.
- 6 Q. At the very bottom on the second page of
- 7 this exhibit, there's an e-mail from a Jon Marlow.
- 8 Have you seen this e-mail before?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you have any conversations with
- 11 Mr. Marlow about -- about this e-mail or about his
- 12 concerns?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Do you know if anyone did?
- 15 A. No, I don't know.
- Q. In the e-mail that appears to be from you
- 17 that starts at the very bottom of the first page and
- 18 continues on to the second page, you indicate that
- 19 "I'm not sure I understand how two faculty members
- 20 could lose emotional control when speaking to the
- 21 student."
- 22 Did you ever resolve that question, or did
- 23 anyone ever explain to you how this incident
- 24 exploded the way that it did?
- 25 A. Dr. -- it was my understanding Dr. Burba

35

- this incident?
- 2 A. Not that I remember.
- **Q.** Your -- your entire sort of information
- $4 \hspace{0.1in}$  from this incident came from Dr. Burba; is that
- 5 accurate?
- 6 A. I believe so, I just -- I don't remember
- 7 if I spoke to Dr. DeMars or Dr. Syp. I remember
- 8 first hearing about it through Dr. Burba, though.
- 9 Q. Had you been made aware of any other 10 incidents of these instructors not acting in a
- 11 professional way?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Did this come as a surprise to you?
- 14 A. Yes
- Q. In your role as the Associate Dean for
- 16 academic affairs, did you supervise or have any type
- 17 of supervisory authority over instructors or
- 18 professors?
- 19 A. No, my role was over students, and issues
- 20 with faculty would be brought to their department
- 21 head.
- Q. And Dr. Burba was their -- he was their
- 23 department head; is that -- that right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And do you know if Dr. Burba took any

- 1 was going to address it with the faculty, and I
  - 2 don't -- I don't remember a conversation after that,
  - 3 we may have had one, I just -- I don't remember.
  - Q. And then on the e-mail from Dr. Burba at
  - 5 the top of the first page, looks like the second
  - 6 paragraph, he says, "I'm" -- "I'm going to meet with
  - 7 Paul and challenge him to go a step further and
  - 8 demonstrate with leadership by maintaining total
  - 9 control from the beginning of the situation or the
  - 10 conversation." Did Dr. Burba ever inform you that
  - 11 he -- he did meet with Paul?
  - 12 A. Not that I remember.
  - 13 Q. All right. If you can take a look at
  - 14 what's been marked as Exhibit 9, which is Board 296.
    - A. Okay.
  - 16 **Q.** Is this your notes?
  - 17 A. Yes.

15

- 18 Q. I just wanted to confirm that. And in
- 19 this, you indicate that Jonathan would like to
- 20 address the PSC, since there's the possibility of
- being dismissed. Does the PSC ever meet and discuss
- 22 students without the students having the opportunity
- 23 to meet with them?
- A. I'm sorry, could you ask that again?
- Q. Sure. Is it unusual for a student to

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

40

41

38

- request to address the PSC in -- in this type of
- 2 situation where they failed the second -- second
- 3 rotation?
- 4 A. No. It's routine after a second rotation
- 5 fail. In fact, we encourage it.
  - Q. All right. If you could take a look at
- 7 Exhibit Number 10, which is 41175 through 1176.
- 8 A. Okay.

10

- 9 Q. All right. Can you tell me what this is?
  - A. Yes. This is the summary from the Chair
- 11 of the Professional Standards Committee, that was
- 12 Dr. Reichard, and following the Professional
- 13 Standards Committee meeting, he provides me with a
- 14 summary and the ultimate decision.
- Q. When you receive this, what do you do with it?
- A. I notify the student of the Professional
- 18 Standards Committee decision and the Dean.
- 19 **Q.** And is -- is the student allowed to
- 20 continue in the program while they're being notified
- 21 of -- of this -- this recommendation for dismissal?
- A. From the time that they receive their
- 23 grade, and then there's an answer from the
- 24 Professional Standards Committee, yes, they stay in
- 25 their rotation.

- 1 planned, it would have been the same day, yes.
- 2 Q. In that e-mail you're saying that the Dean
- had suggested that -- that the student's advisor be
- 4 present with them at the PSC, when they meet with
- 5 the PSC; is that -- is that right?
- 6 A. At some point, it looks like he
- 7 recommend -- or, yes, that we considered that, yes.
  - Q. And in an e-mail a little ways above that
- 9 from you, it says, "I say we make it so, included in
- 10 the Student handbook for next year."
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 **Q.** Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did that happen?
- 15 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Do you know if Jonathan had his advisor
- 17 with him at -- when he met with the PSC?
- 18 A. I don't recall Dr. Holyoak being there.
  - Q. Do you know if this topic came up with the
- 20 Dean because Jonathan's advisor was not with him at
- 21 the PSC?

19

- A. I honestly don't remember what the Dean
- 23 and I talked about, if that was part of it or -- I
- 24 don't recall.
- Q. All right. If we could move on to Exhibit

39

- 1 Q. All right. If you could take a look at
- 2 Exhibit 11, which is Board 1178.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. All right. On this -- on this document,
- 5 there appears to be an e-mail from you to the Dean
- 6 on March 22nd. Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you're -- essentially, you're asking
- 9 to meet with the Dean about Jonathan -- well, about
- 10 Jonathan; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you, in fact, meet with the Dean?
- 13 A. I -- I don't remember. I assume I did,
- 14 but I don't remember.
- Q. All right. I assume you don't recall what
- 16 you discussed with him; is that right?
- 17 A. I don't.
- 18 Q. All right. If you could take a look at
- 19 Exhibit 12, which is Board 121 -- 1215 through 1216.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Would you agree that the e-mail at the
- 22 bottom of page 1 from you was written on the same
- 23 day that, according to the previous exhibit, that
- 24 you met with the Dean?
- 25 A. I -- if I did meet with him, as was

- 1 Number 13, which is Board 1299 through 1301.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. All right. And Exhibit 13 here, is this
- 4 the appeal that Jonathan submitted after it was
- 5 recommended that he be dismissed?
  - A. Yes.

- 7 Q. And the first page of this appears to be
- 8 an e-mail from the Dean to you and says, "Jonathan's
- 9 letter, we can discuss." Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you, in fact, have a discussion with
- 12 the Dean about this letter?
- 13 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Would you have had any notes or anything
- 15 that you would have taken if you did meet with the
- 16 Dean?
- 17 A. Not necessarily. I don't usually make
- 18 notes when I meet with the Dean.
- Q. Do you recall having a conversation with
- 20 anyone about this letter that Jonathan submitted?
- 21 A. I do not. Well, until the Professional
- 22 Standards Committee met about it, because I am on
- 23 that committee.
- Q. Okay. What was discussed there?
- A. Well, the Dean had asked the committee to

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

44

45

42

```
1 review their decision, and so we would have reviewed
```

- 2 this appeal letter.
- 3 Q. So you are also a member of -- of the 4 committee, as well?
  - A. Ex-officio.
- 6 Q. So you didn't have a vote on the
- 7 committee; is that right?
- A. That's correct. 8
- 9 Q. All right. We can move on to Exhibit 10 Number 14, Board 1384 through 1385.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Do you recall seeing this before?
- 13 A. I'm actually not sure what this is.
- 14 Q. What, the second page?
- 15 A. Yeah. Was that an attachment to one of
- 16

5

- 17 Q. Well, I would normally be asking you that question, but that is my understanding.
- 19 A. Is it that --
- 20 Q. If you --
- 21 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- MR. PRATT: I just directed her attention 22
- 23 to the top of the e-mail.
- 24 THE WITNESS: The attachment, yeah. Okay.
- So this must be -- okay. Yes.

before he met with the Professional Standards

- Committee, so that would have been before this in
- Q. When you met with Jonathan that time, do you recall his demeanor at all?
- A. I really don't recall the meeting, to be

13

- 8 Q. Okay. Do you recall if you had a positive 9 or negative impression of him?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Were you surprised that Dr. Risco was
- 12 giving Jonathan another opportunity?
  - A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And do you recall if he ever informed you
- 15 why he was giving him another opportunity?
- 16 A. No. Just one more chance, but nothing 17 specific.
- 18 Q. Did you inform Dr. Risco that you
- 19 disagreed with his decision?
- 20 I don't remember.
- 21 Q. All right. We can move on to Exhibit
- 22 Number 15 here, which is Plaintiff 056.
- 23 MR. PRATT: Hey, Jason, can we take a
- 24 five-minute break? We've been going about an hour,
- about an hour, stop and take a break.

43

- 1 Q. So would you agree with me that this 2 starts off with an e-mail from the Dean to the PSC
- asking them to consider Jonathan's appeal?
- 4 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 5 Q. And then -- and then there's a response
- that -- that the PSC met and they don't see a reason
- 7 to reverse their recommendation; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. And then there appears to be an e-mail
- 10 from you to the Dean saying, "FYI, I summarized the
- recommendations you had outlined when we last spoke
- about Jonathan's case," and then it appears that the
- second page here is that attachment. Will you agree
- 14 with that?
- 15 A. Yes. Looks like it, yes.
- Q. And are these the conditions that we spoke
- 17 about earlier that Jonathan would have to adhere to
- 18 if he were to continue in the program?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And did you come up with any of these, or
- was this all from the Dean? 21
- 22 A. Those are all from the Dean.
- 23 Q. Had you met with Jonathan at this point,
- 24 for the second time?
- 25 A. The second time I met with Jonathan was

- MR. BACH: Yeah, that's fine, I can tell you, I don't have much more.
- MR. PRATT: I figured as much. That's why
- I figured we won't need long, just maybe a quick
- opportunity for a break.
  - MR. BACH: No problem.
- 7 MR. PRATT: All right. Thank you.
  - (Recess taken.)
- 9 BY MR. BACH:
- 10 Q. All right. We can move on to Exhibit
- 11 Number 15 there, which is Plaintiff 056.
  - A. Okav.
- 13 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 14 A. Yes.

6

8

- 15 Q. And what is it?
- A. This is a letter that I sent to Jonathan 16
- 17 advising him that the committee stood by their
- initial recommendation of dismissal, but the Dean's 18
- 19 final decision was to allow him to stay in the
- 20 program, and then outlining the details.
- 21 Q. Outlining the conditions that would be in 22 place if he continued the program, is that --
  - A. Yes, under academic suspension, yes.
- 24 Q. And did you have a discussion with
- Jonathan about this?

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

48

49

46

.

- A. No. This was all done via e-mail.
- Q. Did this require Jonathan to agree to this
- 3 or to sign any agreement of any kind?
- 4 A. No.

1

- 5 Q. Do you recall the Dean meeting with
- 6 Jonathan's parents?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you ever meet with his parents?
- 9 A. No
- 10 Q. All right. If we can move on to Exhibit
- 11 Number 16 here, which is Plaintiff 057 through 061.
- 12 A. Okay
- Q. Do you recognize this e-mail thread?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Is this the incident with Dr. Di Concetto
- 16 when someone had made a derogatory comment?
- 17 A. It looks like it, yes.
- 18 Q. And then would you agree that the e-mails
- 19 that come after that are -- are all responses from
- 20 the students in that rotation?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you ever -- did you ever hear any
- 23 allegation that -- that Jonathan was the one who
- 24 made that comment on -- on that video meet up?
- 25 A. I don't remember any student being

- 1 A. Okay.
  - Q. So would you agree with me that Exhibit
  - Number 17 is the e-mail that Dr. Di Concetto sent
  - 4 out about the rotation ending early, and then above
  - 5 that is a response that Jonathan had sent to
  - 6 Dr. Di Concetto and everyone and all the students
  - 7 who were in that rotation?
    - A. Yes.

8

10

- 9 Q. And then you sent in -- or you forwarded
  - to Robin Wilson; is that -- is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 **Q. Who is Robin Wilson?**
- A. She is the Director of Student Services
- 14 for the college.
- 15 Q. And your comment occurs, "Interesting.
- 16 Mr. MPH is the only one excusing the comment and
- 17 making the excuses." By Mr. MPH, I assume that
- 18 you're referring to Jonathan and his master's of
- 19 public health that he -- he lists after his name
- 20 there?
- A. I guess, I don't -- I actually don't
- 22 remember this, but I would assume so.
- Q. What -- what excuses did you feel that
- 24 Jonathan was making in this situation?
- A. Well, I don't know. I honestly don't know

- 1 implemented or incriminated on that, honestly.
- 2 Q. You had asked Dr. Di Concetto to tell you
- 3 who the student was; is that right?
   4 A. When there is an unprofessional occurrence
- 5 like this, I like to know, I always ask the
- 6 instructor who is it, so I can meet with the student
- 7 and have a discussion why this is not professional
- 8 behavior. And some instructors tell me and others
- 9 don't or some just honestly don't know. We always
- 10 give it a shot anyway.
- Q. And what's your recollection as to what
- 12 Dr. Di Concetto told you?
- A. I don't remember what Dr. Di Concetto
- 14 said, but I don't remember meeting with any student
- 15 about this.
- Q. If we can move on to Exhibit Number 17,
- 17 and that is Board 1451 through 1454. Are we missing
- 18 a page here?
- MR. PRATT: It appears that 1452 is
- 20 missing, I don't know if that was maybe a blank
- 21 page, I don't see that there is a -- any -- anything
- 22 that's actually missing, but I noticed that, as
- 23 well.
- Q. All right. I don't think it's going to be
- important here, but let's -- let's roll with this.

- 1 what I was referring to.
- Q. Did you have a negative opinion of
- Jonathan by this time?
- 4 A. Not that I recall. A student that, you
- 5 know, was struggling and he's not the only one we've
- б seen.
- 7 Q. By referring to Jonathan as Mr. MPH, were
- 8 you attempting to be derogatory?
- 9 A. I don't remember. I don't remember
- 10 sending this, so I don't remember my intent, and I
- 11 didn't remember he had an MPH.
- 12 Q. All right. If we can move on to Exhibit
- 13 **18, which is Board 1460 through 1461.**
- 14 A. Okav.
- 15 Q. All right. We had talked earlier about
- 16 Dr. Di Concetto wanting to give Jonathan another
- 17 opportunity after he didn't pass his rotation; is
- 18 that fair to say?
- 19 A. Dr. Di Concetto, I would say, suggested
- 20 it, not that he necessarily felt that it should be
- done, it wasn't uncommon for Dr. Di Concetto to
- 22 make, you know, kind of suggestions going a little
- 23 bit out of our policy or his syllabus or things like
- 24 that.
- Q. Meaning he tended to be more flexible than

9

11

12

19

24

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

50

other instructors?

2 A. No. He -- it was more that he didn't

- 3 understand, I think, sometimes that we -- we
- 4 can't -- we can't treat students differently.
  - Q. In the e-mail that's at the top of the
- 6 first page here from Dr. Di Concetto to you on April
- 7 20th of 2020, it says, "Given the abnormal nature of
- 8 the rotation, to me, it would make sense to allow
- 9 someone to repeat it once the circumstances are
- 10 normalized." Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

- 12 Q. And again, this is, you know, mid to late
- 13 April of 2020 shortly after the COVID lockdown; is
- 14 that -- is that accurate?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it your understanding that that's the
- 17 abnormal nature that he's referring to?
- 18 A. Yes
- 19 Q. So, did -- did you ultimately have a
- 20 conversation with Dr. Di Concetto and ask him that
- 21 Jonathan was not going to be given another
- 22 **opportunity?**

25

- A. No, I don't believe we discussed anything
- 24 outside of this e-mail.
  - Q. Did Dr. Di Concetto have the authority to

- 1 probably not, but professionally, it would be
  - 2 unusual, anytime a student is dismissed, I always
  - 3 advise the Dean, so I would assume that he knew
    - 4 before I sent this letter out.
      - Q. Do you know if he told the Dean that
    - 5 Dr. Di Concetto was mentioning given -- giving
    - 7 Jonathan another opportunity?
      - A. I don't remember.
      - Q. Did you have any conversations with
  - 10 Jonathan after you sent this letter?
    - A. I don't -- I don't think so.
    - Q. Did you have any conversations with the
  - 13 Dean after you sent this letter about Jonathan?
  - 14 A. Not that I remember.
  - Q. Do you recall having any conversations
  - 16 with anyone else at the college about Jonathan after
  - 17 this letter was sent?
  - 18 A. I don't remember.
    - Q. All right. Just give me a minute here
  - 20 just to look through my notes and let me see if I
  - 21 have anything else for you.
  - MR. BACH: All right. I'll pass the
  - 23 witness.
    - MR. PRATT: Okay. I have a few follow-up
- 25 questions, but I don't think we'll be long.

51

- give Jonathan another opportunity?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. What about another opportunity to retake
- 4 his exam, is that something that would have been
- 5 within his power?
- 6 A. Not if it is outside his syllabus.
- Q. All right. If you could take a look atour last exhibit here, Exhibit 19, which is
- 9 Plaintiff 084.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Can you tell me what this is?
- 12 A. This would have been a letter that I sent
- 13 to Jonathan advising him he was dismissed from the
- 14 program.
- Q. Did you have a conversation with the Dean about this before you sent this letter?
- 17 A. I don't remember that discussion. I would
- 18 assume I did, because this has been quite a long,
- 19 protracted -- of course, he wanted to give Jonathan
- 20 another chance, so I would think that I did, but I
- 21 don't remember.
- Q. Would you need for the Dean to give you the final go ahead to send a letter like this, even
- 24 though those stipulations were in place?
- 25 A. That's a good question. Technically,

- CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. PRATT:
- Q. Dr. Gilmour, do you recall a conversation
- that you had with counsel a little while back
- 5 referencing some e-mail communications about the
- 6 possibility of including a student academic advisor
- 7 in the meetings with the PSC?
  - A. Yes.

8

- 9 Q. Okay. I think you have all of the
- 10 exhibits in front of you right now that have been
- 11 labeled 1 through 19. Would you please locate
- 12 Exhibit 12 and take a look at that? I believe it's
- 13 a two-page exhibit, Board 1215 through Board 1216.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. You have that in front of you now?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall those
- 18 conversations, in fact, I believe a question was
- 19 asked specifically about the e-mail midway through
- 20 the first page dated March 23rd of 2020 where you
- had indicated about the suggestion that a academic
- 2 advisor be included, but you said, "I say we make it
- 23 so and include it in the student handbook next
- 24 year." Do you recall that?
- 25 A. Yes.

City Reporters

(405)235 - 3376

53

Q. So during your time of involvement with

students specifically participating in the year four

Q. So it would be quite unusual for a faculty

member to file an academic integrity violation

against a student participating in a year four

A. Year four is very different. You are

to bring everything like that together. And it's

expected to assimilate a lot of information and act

professional and communicate well and you just have

not like you have some way you're going to cheat on

that. You bring your A game, but there's no way to

of academic integrity violations filed against

Q. None that you can recall?

A. (Witness shakes head.)

Q. Not a single one?

Q. And why is that?

the Oklahoma State University College of Veterinary

Medicine program, do you recall a number or estimate

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

25

cheat.

A. No.

clinical program?

A. Correct.

program?

A. Yes.

A. None.

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

54

Q. Would you please look to the e-mail that 1 2 precedes that from you down below at the bottom of the page?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And if you'll notice, it -- it seems to span page -- or Board 1215 and Board 1216, there is 7 a sentence on 1216. What -- what does that say?

A. "This does not have anything to with the 8 9 Rivera-Pierola decision. Dr. Risco was very complimentary of the letter we provided." 10

Q. Okay. Do you believe that was an accurate 11 12 and honest statement that you made at that time?

A. Yes.

13

14 Q. Do you know why the conversation was 15 happening at this time, if it did not have anything 16 to do with the Rivera-Pierola decision?

A. Not specifically. It was not unusual that 17 when we came up with things we thought would be an

19 improvement to our processes, that we'd mention it,

in this case, to Robin Wilson and then Dr. Reichard,

21 who was the chair at the time, have a discussion and then put it on a list of change for the next year.

Q. As a nonvoting member of the PSC, you were 23

24 present for, I assume, a relatively large numbers of 25 **PSC's**; is that accurate?

55

In years one through three, of course, it's

very different, you're in a class, there's high stakes, there's exams, there's things to turn in,

and there, we have seen some academic integrity

issues come up in students looking on another student's paper, or, you know. But fourth year,

7 it's that, you know, doing some action to get ahead

or to cheat, it just isn't really applicable in that

9 setting.

10 11

MR. PRATT: I'll pass the witness. REDIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. BACH:

13 Q. If you don't mind, I know that you're 14 retired. Is there any reason that you wouldn't be available for -- to attend a trial in September? 15

16 A. No.

20

17 Q. So, no, you -- you wouldn't have any -any obstacle to attend a trial, if, in fact, it goes

to trial in September?

A. No, I would not.

21 MR. BACH: I don't have anything further. 22

MR. PRATT: Okay. We'll read and sign.

23 MR. BACH: All right. Thank you very much 24 for your time here today.

(Deposition concluded at 3:42 p.m.) 25

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Were advisors, student academic advisors ever present during those meetings that you recall? 3

4 A. I recall one meeting with an advisor,

student asked to bring their advisor. 5

Q. But only one?

7 A. Yes.

6

Q. Okay. At the time that Mr. Rivera-Pierola was participating in the program, did OSU follow its policies and procedures with regard to academic

advisors' presence at a PSC meeting? 11

12

Q. But you said you do not recall 13

definitively whether Dr. Holyoak was present or not, 14 correct? 15

16 A. No, I can't say, huh-uh.

17 Q. But regardless of whether he was there or not, OSU would have been complying with its policies and procedures?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Is there anything that's been discussed

today or anything that you were aware of during

Mr. Rivera-Pierola's time in the program that would have necessitated or even warranted an academic

integrity violation being filed against him?

City Reporters (405)235 - 3376

56

16 (Pages 58 to 60)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	58	60
1	ERRATA SHEET	1 CERTIFICATE
2	WITNESS: MARGI GILMOUR	2 STATE OF OKLAHOMA )
3	DATE: MAY 15, 2023	) SS:
4	REPORTER: Brenda Schmitz, CSR, RPR	3 OKLAHOMA COUNTY )
	NO CORRECTIONS ARE NECESSARY	4 I, Brenda Schmitz, Certified Shorthand Reporter
5		5 within and for the State of Oklahoma, do hereby
6	PAGE LINE CORRECTION REASON	6 certify that the above-named MARGI GILMOUR was by me
7		7 first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole
8		8 truth, and nothing but the truth in the case
9		
		9 aforesaid; that the above and foregoing deposition
10		10 was by me taken in shorthand and thereafter
11		11 transcribed; that the same is true and correct; and
12		12 that it was taken on MAY 15, 2023, at the time of
13		13 2:04 p.m. in the City of Stillwater, County of
		14 Payne, State of Oklahoma under the stipulations
14		15 hereinbefore set out, and that I am not attorney for
15		16 or relative of any of said parties or otherwise
16		17 interested in the event of said action.
17		
		18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
18		19 and official seal this 25th day of May, 2023
19		20
20		21 / / /
21		22 Stenda Shruiti
		BRENDA SCHMITZ, CSR, RPR #18230
22	·	23 Oklahoma Certified Shorthand Reporter
23		Certificate No. 00823
24		
25		Expires: December 31, 2023
23		25
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JURAT  I, MARGI GILMOUR, do hereby state under oath that I have read the above and foregoing transcript in its entirety, and that the same is a full, true, and correct transcription of my testimony so given at said time and place, except for the corrections noted.  MARGI GILMOUR  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME, the undersigned Notary Public in and for the State of day of, 2023.  Notary Public  My Commission Expires:	
21 22 23 24 25	REPORTED BY: BRENDA SCHMITZ, CSR, RPR	

				<u>ρΤ</u>
<b>A</b>	39:21 43:1,13	applicants 12:17	5:11 6:9 20:23	<b>bring</b> 21:14 28:16
able 20:23 21:18	46:2,18 48:2	applications 26:11	21:1,6,13,16,25	55:5 56:22,24
21:20	agreed 4:2,12	<b>April</b> 50:6,13	22:3 30:1 45:1,6	Bringing 26:12
abnormal 29:24	29:18	area 13:9	45:9 52:22 57:12	brought 9:12
50:7,17	agreement 46:3	asked 15:22 16:4	57:21,23	35:20
above-named	AGRICULTUR	32:13 41:25 47:2	bachelor's 9:4	<b>Burba</b> 35:4,8,22
60:6	1:8	53:19 55:5	<b>back</b> 16:17 18:9	35:25 36:25 37:4
academic 8:7	ahead 51:23 57:7	<b>asking</b> 6:11,16	24:19 53:4	37:10
11:10 35:16	<b>al</b> 1:8	28:10 29:9 39:8	<b>bad</b> 6:17	business 17:20
45:23 53:6,21	allegation 33:19	42:17 43:3	<b>based</b> 33:17	
55:2,10,24 56:5	33:23 34:1 46:23	assimilate 56:20	beginning 37:9	<u>C</u>
56:14 57:4	<b>allow</b> 25:8 29:10	Associate 8:6,11	<b>behalf</b> 1:15 4:5	C 18:7 23:10
accept 11:14 12:6	29:11 45:19 50:8	10:25 11:12,19	behavior 47:8	C's 23:10
accepting 25:6	allowed 13:18	24:1,3,4 35:15	<b>believe</b> 18:5 19:12	call 23:20 24:14
accurate 16:23	16:17 17:5 20:2	<b>assume</b> 6:21 22:22	24:8,13 26:21	26:4
30:21 35:5 50:14	23:18 24:10 34:6	39:13,15 48:17	28:8 32:3 35:6	case 1:6 26:10
54:11,25	38:19	48:22 51:18 52:3	50:23 53:12,18	28:18,20 32:15
act 56:20	allowing 17:1	54:24	54:11	43:12 54:20 60:8
acting 35:10	24:23	assuming 24:15	believed 16:21	caused 18:19
action 36:1 57:7	anesthesia 3:18	attachment 42:15	33:5	cautioned 5:5
60:17	18:10	42:24 43:13	bequest 16:4	cautious 23:7
additional 27:15	animal 10:10	attempting 49:8	best 6:14 7:22	certain 16:16 Certificate 2:22
address 37:1,20	13:17	attend 23:18	14:7 16:23	
38:1	answer 4:16 6:20	24:23 25:9 57:15	better 10:14 12:2	60:1,23 <b>Certified</b> 4:7 60:4
<b>adhere</b> 43:17	6:24 38:23	57:18	18:7 32:23	60:23
adhered 20:13	anytime 52:2	attention 26:4	beyond 20:4	certify 60:6
admission 11:22	anyway 47:10	42:22	bit 49:23	chain 23:14
admitting 25:10	apologize 21:1	attorney 6:10	blank 34:21 47:20	chair 38:10 54:21
advance 22:22	apparently 18:24	60:15	Board 1:7 21:8	<b>challenge</b> 37:7
advise 32:20 52:3	appeal 3:15,16	attorneys 4:4,14	25:22 27:3 30:6	chance 16:15
Advisee 3:9	14:2,20 15:8,8	7:16	37:14 39:2,19	27:21 29:2 44:16
advising 45:17	15:14,15,17 16:2	August 22:8,17 23:1	41:1 42:10 47:17	51:20
51:13	18:8 20:16 41:4 42:2 43:3 44:3	- '	49:13 53:13,13	change 54:22
advisor 28:11,13	42:2 43:3 44:3 appealing 14:23	<b>authority</b> 16:10 35:17 50:25	54:6,6 <b>bottom</b> 29:4 30:15	Chapter 5:6
28:15,21 31:5	APPEARANCES	authorization	36:6,17 39:22	charleston 2:5
40:3,16,20 53:6	2:1	23:18	54:2	cheat 56:23,25
53:22 55:4,5	appears 22:25	available 57:15	break 44:24,25	57:8
advisors 55:2,2	28:10 29:5,18	avanable 37.13 average 26:19	45:5	Chris 3:5
advisors' 55:11	30:11 36:16 39:5	aware 34:19 35:9	<b>Brenda</b> 1:22 4:7	circumstances
<b>affairs</b> 8:7 35:16	41:7 43:9,12	55:22	58:4 59:24 60:4	19:23 50:9
aforesaid 60:9	47:19		60:22	City 1:22,23 4:6
<b>afternoon</b> 19:3,3	Appendix 5:6	В	<b>brief</b> 9:1	21:14 60:13
ago 5:23	applicable 57:8	<b>Bach</b> 2:4,4,17	briefly 17:25	CIV-21-616 1:6
<b>agree</b> 16:18 24:19	applicable 57.0		~11011j 17.23	
	l	l	l	I

May 15, 2023

<b>Civil</b> 4:11	complimentary	26:18 27:15	15:19,22 16:4,12	47:2,12,13 48:3
class 57:2	54:10	33:19 34:24 36:3	16:13,25 17:24	48:6 49:16,19,21
clear 7:3	complying 55:18	36:10 52:9,12,15	24:1,2,3,4 25:15	50:6,20,25 52:6
clearly 26:8	concern 27:13	53:18	35:15 38:18 39:5	different 22:13
clinical 10:15 12:5	33:13	correct 19:11	39:9,12,24 40:2	56:19 57:2
12:10,19 23:11	concerning 9:11	20:16,17 29:12	40:20,22 41:8,12	differently 50:4
31:17 56:7	concerns 23:14	33:1 42:8 48:10	41:16,18,25 43:2	difficult 21:3
clinically 25:1	24:11 26:10	55:15 56:12 59:5	43:10,21,22 46:5	difficulty 11:10
clinicals 3:4	27:16 36:12	60:11	51:15,22 52:3,5	<b>DIRECT</b> 2:17
<b>Clint</b> 20:23 21:17	Concetto 18:13	CORRECTION	52:13	5:10
clint.pratt@oks	19:1,13,19 20:3	58:6	<b>Dean's</b> 45:18	directed 42:22
2:13	46:15 47:2,12,13	corrections 58:5	deceased 27:1	Director 48:13
CLINTON 2:10	48:3,6 49:16,19	59:6	December 60:24	disagreed 44:19
<b>coach</b> 14:6	49:21 50:6,20,25	counsel 2:10 20:4	<b>decide</b> 16:10,13	discuss 37:21 41:9
<b>college</b> 8:5 48:14	52:6	53:4	decided 16:15	discussed 17:25
52:16 56:3	concluded 25:5	County 60:3,13	<b>decision</b> 11:13,17	39:16 41:24
COLLEGES 1:8	57:25	<b>couple</b> 13:2 17:18	11:20 12:12	50:23 55:21
come 13:22 18:9	conditions 43:16	course 51:19 57:1	14:21,24 15:4,8	discussion 10:11
32:13 35:13	45:21	courses 23:11	16:6,7,18,23	25:4 26:13 34:4
43:20 46:19 57:5	conference 4:4	<b>court</b> 1:1 5:7 6:2,7	20:16 23:24 25:8	41:11 45:24 47:7
<b>coming</b> 20:10	<b>confirm</b> 37:18	<b>COVID</b> 17:20	25:11,12 38:14	51:17 54:21
comment 18:25	consider 43:3	19:23 50:13	38:18 42:1 44:19	discussions 18:22
19:7 46:16,24	considered 40:7	<b>CP</b> 3:10	45:19 54:9,16	33:17
48:15,16	considering 24:11	<b>CROSS</b> 2:18	<b>Defendant</b> 1:9 2:9	dishonest 33:6
Commission	contact 19:17	CROSS-EXAM	definitively 55:14	34:2
59:17	22:16 28:20	53:1	<b>degree</b> 9:4,8,15	<b>dismissal</b> 3:13,23
committee 7:15	contacting 19:13	<b>CSR</b> 1:22 58:4	<b>DeMars</b> 34:22	15:3,6,24 16:9
14:5,9 15:2,23	continue 13:19	59:24 60:22	35:7	20:19 38:21
16:3,5,22 38:11	17:1 29:10 34:6	currently 8:1	demeanor 44:5	45:18
38:13,18,24	38:20 43:18	<b>cutoff</b> 24:15,16	demonstrate 37:8	dismissed 12:21
41:22,23,25 42:4	continued 3:1		denied 11:22	13:1,3 14:18
42:7 44:2 45:17	45:22	D	department 35:20	16:11 18:1,4
communicate	continues 36:18	<b>D</b> 34:5	35:23	37:21 41:5 51:13
11:11 15:4 56:21	<b>contract</b> 11:7 12:9	date 3:2 25:14	<b>depend</b> 33:14	52:2
communicated	20:6	58:3	deposition 1:14	DISTRICT 1:1,2
15:5	<b>control</b> 36:20 37:9	<b>dated</b> 53:20	3:2 4:4,19 5:19	doctor 9:7
communication	conversation 17:3	day 19:2 31:10	7:6,18 9:23	document 21:21
32:3,12	23:7 24:22 37:2	39:23 40:1 59:13	57:25 60:9	22:5 30:8 39:4
communications	37:10 41:19	60:19	derogatory 18:25	45:13
25:2 32:14 53:5	50:20 51:15 53:3	days 15:1	46:16 49:8	documents 7:5
community 13:25	54:14	<b>dealt</b> 10:21,23	<b>details</b> 10:3 15:1	<b>doing</b> 18:21 25:1
complete 17:13	conversations	<b>Dean</b> 3:16 8:6,11	45:20	32:21 57:7
18:6	15:16 23:13	10:25 11:12,19	<b>Di</b> 18:13 19:1,13	<b>Dr</b> 3:12 11:16
completed 22:21	24:24 26:14,17	15:5,9,14,15,17	19:19 20:3 46:15	18:13 19:1,13,19
_				
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	•

20:3 23:16,20,25	either 34:25	39:19,23 40:25	<b>finish</b> 17:12	<b>Gilmour</b> 1:14 3:17
24:13,18 25:13	electricity 21:2	41:3 42:9 44:21	<b>Firm</b> 2:4	4:5 5:3,17 53:3
25:15 26:6,9,22	email 2:6,13 3:3,4	45:10 46:10	<b>first</b> 5:4 9:16 10:6	58:2 59:2,9 60:6
26:25 27:1,7,12	3:7,9,10,14,16	47:16 48:2 49:12	13:15 22:24 26:5	give 9:1 10:13
27:14 28:23	3:22	51:8,8 53:12,13	27:25 28:3,5	14:7,10 15:2
31:11 32:1,11,12	emails 3:5,20	exhibits 3:2 20:21	33:3,4 34:7 35:8	16:15 34:21
34:21,22 35:4,7	emotional 36:20	53:10	36:17 37:5 41:7	47:10 49:16 51:1
35:7,8,22,25	employed 8:1	expected 56:20	50:6 53:20 60:7	51:19,22 52:19
36:25,25 37:4,10	employment 8:3	Expires 59:17	firsthand 10:8	given 13:7,9 19:20
38:12 40:18	encourage 38:5	60:24	<b>five</b> 13:8	31:17 50:7,21
44:11,18 46:15	<b>ended</b> 12:13 18:16	explain 36:23	five-minute 44:24	52:6 59:5
47:2,12,13 48:3	enrolled 10:24	exploded 36:24	flexible 49:25	giving 7:19 44:12
48:6 49:16,19,21	ensuing 10:15	expresses 27:12	<b>Floor</b> 2:11	44:15 52:6
50:6,20,25 52:6	entails 10:11	extra 10:15	focus 32:11	<b>go</b> 5:25 13:18
53:3 54:9,20	entering 23:6		<b>follow</b> 20:6 55:9	20:22 28:25 30:5
55:14	entire 35:3	<b>F</b>	<b>follow-up</b> 52:24	30:10 31:4 32:24
drawing 34:21	entirety 59:4	fact 38:5 39:12	<b>following</b> 5:1 9:5	37:7 51:23
duly 5:4 60:7	equally 20:8	41:11 53:18	14:18 38:12	goes 15:1 31:5
<b>DVM</b> 1:14	Errata 2:20 58:1	57:18	follows 5:9	57:18
	essentially 39:8	<b>faculty</b> 20:5,10,11	<b>force</b> 4:17	<b>going</b> 6:11,21 14:1
E	established 26:22	35:20 36:19 37:1	foregoing 59:3	18:7 24:19 26:11
e-mail 22:12,25	estimate 56:4	56:13	60:9	37:1,6 44:24
23:11,14 24:17	<b>et</b> 1:8	<b>fail</b> 14:19 18:8	<b>form</b> 4:15	47:24 49:22
26:5,7 27:7,12	evaluation 31:12	28:5 38:5	<b>forth</b> 19:25	50:21 56:23
27:23 28:10,15	31:15,16,18	<b>failed</b> 3:7 13:24	forward 26:11	good 21:17 51:25
29:5,8 30:11,23	32:16 33:17	17:13 18:9 19:18	forwarded 48:9	<b>GPA</b> 24:15,16
31:9 32:1,7,25	<b>event</b> 60:17	28:24 31:7 38:2	<b>four</b> 56:6,15,19	26:11,12
33:3 36:7,8,11	eventually 12:21	<b>fails</b> 19:16 28:16	fourth 57:6	<b>grade</b> 3:6 10:9
36:16 37:4 39:5	18:1 32:25	<b>failure</b> 14:3 20:20	<b>frame</b> 25:5	13:16 26:19
39:21 40:2,8	Ex-officio 42:5	fair 6:22 20:12	<b>free</b> 6:13	38:23
41:8 42:23 43:2	exact 14:25 25:4	22:14 33:6 49:18	Friday 19:3	graduation 9:3
43:9 46:1,13	exam 51:4	familiar 12:11	friends 7:23	great 22:6
48:3 50:5,24	<b>EXAMINATION</b>	<b>far</b> 10:13	<b>front</b> 14:8 21:9	ground 6:1
53:5,19 54:1	2:17,18 5:10	fault 29:23 30:2	32:24 53:10,15	guess 33:14 48:21
e-mails 7:9 17:19	57:11	feedback 32:22	<b>full</b> 10:25 11:18	guidance 10:16
18:17 22:7,8,11	<b>exams</b> 57:3	feel 6:13 48:23	24:4 59:4	<b>guide</b> 10:13
28:23 31:5 34:16	<b>excuses</b> 48:17,23	felt 49:20	<b>further</b> 4:12 37:7	
46:18	excusing 48:16	<b>figured</b> 45:3,4	57:21	<u>H</u>
<b>earlier</b> 43:17	<b>exhibit</b> 21:8,15	file 56:14	<b>FYI</b> 43:10	half 8:11
49:15	25:22 26:1 27:3	filed 55:25 56:5		halfway 22:24
early 3:18,20	27:19 28:9,25	<b>final</b> 19:10 45:19	<u>G</u>	hand 60:18
18:16,19 48:4	30:4,5 32:25	51:23	game 56:24	handbook 40:10
education 9:2	33:4 34:14 36:7	find 19:6	generally 11:1	53:23
effect 4:18	37:14 38:7 39:2	<b>fine</b> 45:1	getting 12:17	hands 19:24

May 15, 2023

<b>happen</b> 14:16	incident 18:15	13:17	46:6	41:9,12,20 42:2
40:14	34:20 35:1,4	involved 11:4	Jurat 2:21 59:1	45:16 51:12,16
happened 10:12	36:1,23 46:15	14:23 23:21		51:23 52:4,10,13
17:15 18:18	incidents 35:10	involvement 56:2	K	52:17 54:10
happening 54:15	include 53:23	involving 34:20	<b>kind</b> 10:15 46:3	letting 26:9
head 35:21,23	included 40:9	issue 21:17	49:22	limit 26:11
56:10	53:22	issues 35:19 57:5	knew 52:3	LINE 58:6
<b>health</b> 48:19	including 27:9		know 9:20 10:19	list 54:22
hear 33:15 46:22	53:6	J	12:8,13,16 13:2	<b>lists</b> 48:19
hearing 18:20	incoherent 6:12	<b>J</b> 2:4	15:21 16:2 18:14	little 21:2 40:8
34:8 35:8	incriminated 47:1	<b>Jason</b> 2:4 6:9	19:4,17,23 20:11	49:22 53:4
hearts 20:11	<b>INDEX</b> 2:15 3:1	29:22 44:23	21:11 24:10 25:3	<b>locate</b> 53:11
help 6:15 32:23	indicate 36:18	jbach@bachla	25:6,12,18,23	lockdown 50:13
helping 10:13	37:19	2:6	26:10,24 27:10	long 5:23 8:8,18
hereinbefore 5:4	indicated 32:1	<b>job</b> 15:4	27:17,20 28:17	16:1 21:1,20
60:15	53:21	<b>join</b> 24:11	28:22,23 29:1	45:4 51:18 52:25
hereto 4:3,13	indicates 30:12	<b>Jon</b> 36:7	33:22,25 34:3,5	look 11:19 24:14
hereunto 60:18	33:4	<b>Jonathan</b> 1:4 6:10	35:25 36:2,14,15	27:2 30:12 37:13
<b>Hey</b> 44:23	individuals 27:8	7:11 9:12,14	40:16,19 47:5,9	38:6 39:1,18
high 9:2,3 57:2	<b>inform</b> 37:10	10:18,22 12:21	47:20 48:25,25	51:7 52:20 53:12
<b>holler</b> 21:24	44:18	13:10,15,23	49:5,22 50:12	54:1
Holyoak 28:23	information 32:14	14:10,16,20 15:6	52:5 54:14 57:6	<b>looking</b> 11:9 21:21
31:11 32:1,11,12	35:3 56:20	15:13,20,21	57:7,13	57:5
40:18 55:14	informed 44:14	16:15,17,24 17:1	knowledge 10:20	looks 22:12 27:8
honest 23:22 44:7	informing 31:11	17:4,22 18:1	knows 32:17	37:5 40:6 43:15
54:12	initial 12:8 14:17	19:10,20 20:15	L	46:17
honestly 13:3	22:11 45:18	23:18 24:10,15	labeled 53:11	loop 28:17
27:10 40:22 47:1	inquired 32:8,8	26:15 27:13,24	lack 32:4	lose 36:20
47:9 48:25	instructor 18:11	28:3,23 29:10 31:2,8,11 32:10	lapses 32:3,12	lot 18:21 26:1
hour 44:24,25	19:16 33:12 47:6	32:15 33:5,9,19	large 54:24	32:11 56:20
<b>huh-uh</b> 6:25 55:16	instructors 31:23	33:22,25 34:6,9	Larry 21:13	M
I	33:24 34:25	34:20 37:19 39:9	Las 2:5	maintaining 37:8
ideas 14:11	35:10,17 36:1	39:10 40:16 41:4	late 23:5 50:12	making 11:16
identify 21:21	47:8 50:1	41:20 43:17,23	<b>Laura</b> 33:1	23:20,24 48:17
implemented 47:1	integrity 55:25	43:25 44:4,12	Law 2:4	48:24
important 20:12	56:5,14 57:4 intent 49:10	45:16,25 46:2,23	lawsuit 9:12	March 39:6 53:20
47:25	interact 11:3	48:5,18,24 49:3	leadership 37:8	Margi 1:14 4:5
imposing 26:10	interact 11.3	49:7,16 50:21	learning 17:17	5:3,17 58:2 59:2
impression 44:9	Interesting 48:15	51:1,13,19 52:7	led 20:18	59:9 60:6
improve 10:14	interests 16:24	52:10,13,16	Legal 2:10	marked 3:2 37:14
32:21	interests 10.24	Jonathan's 19:14	let's 8:20 24:5,5	<b>Marlow</b> 36:7,11
improvement	24:2,6	28:11,13 40:20	34:13 47:25,25	Mason 29:5
54:19	internal 10:10	41:8 43:3,12	<b>letter</b> 3:12,15,17	master's 48:18
		, ,	3:18,23 16:2	
	I	I	I	ı

	-			
math 8:20	mid-block 31:12	29:14,24 30:1	13:5	25:6 27:14 55:9
Matthew's 3:5	31:14,16 32:16	normalized 50:10	<b>Oh</b> 30:15	55:18
10:18,21,24 11:6	mid-blocks 32:19	normally 14:3,5	okay 5:25 6:5,9,24	outlined 16:22
11:14,22 12:1,4	middle 31:19,21	33:11 42:17	7:3,13,20,24 8:3	43:11
12:7,9,14,25	<b>midway</b> 53:19	Northeast 1:23	8:13 10:4 11:25	<b>outlining</b> 45:20,21
13:4,6,11 22:12	mind 57:13	<b>Notary</b> 59:12,16	12:13 14:23	outside 23:14
23:8 25:3,7,8	<b>minimum</b> 26:19	<b>noted</b> 59:7	17:21 18:18 21:4	50:24 51:6
26:14,18,23 27:9	minus 23:10	<b>notes</b> 3:11 7:10	21:7,19,20,23,25	
27:13,15	<b>minute</b> 52:19	9:20,22 10:9	22:1,2 25:21,25	P
Meaning 49:25	missing 47:17,20	37:16 41:14,18	27:2,5,12,22	<b>p.m</b> 57:25 60:13
MECHANICAL	47:22	52:20	28:25 29:3,8	page 22:24 26:5
1:8	months 13:9	<b>notice</b> 4:10 54:5	30:2,3,3,7,17,18	27:3,7 28:9 29:4
medicine 8:6 9:7	move 25:21 27:19	noticed 47:22	31:9 34:15 37:15	30:10,12 33:4
10:10 13:17 56:4	34:13 40:25 42:9	<b>notified</b> 31:6 34:1	38:8 39:3,20	36:6,17,18 37:5
meet 14:4,5 28:4,6	44:21 45:10	38:20	41:2,24 42:11,24	39:22 41:7 42:14
28:18 32:15	46:10 47:16	<b>notify</b> 22:20 38:17	42:25 44:8 45:12	43:13 47:18,21
33:15 37:6,11,21	49:12	<b>number</b> 1:6 3:3,4	46:12 48:1 49:14	50:6 53:20 54:3
37:23 39:9,12,25	moving 11:17	3:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	51:10 52:24 53:9	54:6 58:6
40:4 41:15,18	17:16	3:12,13,14,15,16	53:17 54:11 55:8	paper 57:6
46:8,24 47:6	<b>MPH</b> 48:16,17	3:17,18,20,22,23	57:22	paragraph 33:3
meeting 3:11 9:17	49:7,11	21:8 25:22 26:2	Oklahoma 1:2,7	37:6
9:18,19,21 10:5	muted 18:24	27:3,8,20 29:1	1:17,23,23 2:11	<b>parents</b> 46:6,8
10:6 13:24 16:6		30:6 38:7 41:1	2:12 4:7,9,10 5:6	<b>part</b> 11:7 26:18
38:13 44:6 46:5	N	42:10 44:22	8:5 27:9 56:3	40:23
47:14 55:4,11	<b>Nafe</b> 33:1	45:11 46:11	60:2,3,5,14,23	participating 55:9
meetings 7:11	name 5:12,15 6:9	47:16 48:3 56:4	once 5:22 11:18	56:6,15
53:7 55:3	48:19	numbers 54:24	25:13 50:9	particular 26:15
member 42:3	named 5:4	NV 2:5	ones 12:18	<b>parties</b> 4:3,13
54:23 56:14	<b>NARVAEZ</b> 21:13		<b>online</b> 17:16	60:16
members 36:19	21:23 22:1	0	ophthalmology	pass 13:19 34:9
memory 10:8 22:6	<b>nature</b> 50:7,17	oath 5:9 6:5,6	8:17	49:17 52:22
<b>mention</b> 54:19	necessarily 41:17	59:2	opinion 49:2	57:10
mentioned 7:13	49:20	objections 4:14	opportunity 19:20	passing 13:16
9:11 13:14 17:4	NECESSARY	obstacle 57:18	21:11 25:23	19:11 27:24 34:7
mentioning 52:6	58:5	obtained 9:4,7	33:23 37:22	34:10
met 9:20 10:1,2	necessitated 55:24	obviously 22:7	44:12,15 45:5	<b>Paul</b> 37:7,11
13:14,23 14:13	need 6:18 12:10	occasions 5:21	49:17 50:22 51:1	<b>Payne</b> 60:14
15:19,21 16:3	21:17,22,24 45:4	occurrence 47:4	51:3 52:7	percent 11:24
24:15 28:2 31:1	51:22	occurs 48:15	<b>option</b> 15:7 18:8	perception 33:16
31:8 39:24 40:17	<b>negative</b> 44:9 49:2	<b>October</b> 30:13,24	19:22	performance
41:22 43:6,23,25	never 20:4	offered 19:22	order 17:22 20:12	31:18
44:1,4	Nominees 3:3,4	Office 2:10	orientation 11:2	period 11:16
Michigan 9:5,6	nonvoting 54:23	official 60:19	<b>OSU</b> 11:5 12:1,3,5	permanent 24:7,9
mid 50:12	normal 22:16,23	offshore 12:10	12:6,13 22:14	perspective 10:12
	-	•	•	•

place 18:15 45:22	Problems 3:10	pull 21:22 24:19	26:6 34:5	25:14,17,19
51:24 59:6	Procedure 4:11	purpose 32:20	Recess 45:8	33:10,21 34:23
placed 20:15	procedures 55:10	purpose 32.20 pursuant 4:10 5:5	recognize 22:4	35:2,6,7 36:2,5
_	55:19	-	45:13 46:13	
placement 3:3		put 21:18 54:22		37:2,3,12 39:13
22:13	process 16:1	0	recollection 9:14	39:14 40:15,22
placements 22:22	processes 54:19	question 4:15 6:12	9:25 23:15 47:11	41:13 44:20
Plaintiff 1:5,15	produced 5:1	-	recommend 40:7	46:25 47:13,14
2:3 4:6 5:2 44:22	profession 16:24	6:20,20,21,24	recommendation	48:22 49:9,9,10
45:11 46:11 51:9	professional 4:9	29:23 36:22	3:13 14:15,17	49:11 51:17,21
planned 40:1	7:15 14:4,8 15:2	42:18 51:25	15:3,6,24 16:8	52:8,14,18
<b>please</b> 5:13,16	16:3,5,22 35:11	53:18	20:9 38:21 43:7	remote 17:17
6:13 53:11 54:1	38:11,12,17,24	questions 6:11,18	45:18	remotely 5:5
<b>point</b> 13:22 16:8	41:21 44:1 47:7	52:25	recommendations	repeat 6:14,18
25:2 26:19 32:16	56:21	quick 45:4	43:11	29:11,19 50:9
40:6 43:23	professionally	quite 51:18 56:13	recommended	Repeating 3:22
pointers 10:14	52:1		41:5	<b>rephrase</b> 6:14,18
<b>policies</b> 55:10,18	<b>Professor</b> 8:17	<u>R</u>	recommending	33:25
<b>policy</b> 49:23	professors 35:18	raised 33:12	22:13	REPORTED 1:22
<b>position</b> 8:9,14,16	<b>program</b> 12:3,18	reached 17:22	record 5:12,15 7:3	59:24
8:22	12:19,22 13:7,12	read 21:12 27:21	REDIRECT	reporter 4:8,9 6:2
positive 44:8	13:19 14:18	57:22 59:3	57:11	58:4 60:4,23
possibility 37:20	16:17 17:5,23	really 10:8 11:2	reevaluate 15:23	Reporter's 2:22
53:6	18:1 23:9,19	22:18 23:21 44:6	referencing 53:5	Reporters 1:22
possible 6:17	24:11,23 34:7	57:8	referring 48:18	21:14
potential 14:2	38:20 43:18	reason 43:6 57:14	49:1,7 50:17	reports 7:10
potentially 13:8	45:20,22 51:14	58:6	refresh 9:25	request 28:8 38:1
power 51:5	55:9,23 56:4,7	recall 9:16 11:25	regard 55:10	require 46:2
practice 13:25	56:16	13:10 15:19,22	regarding 7:11	required 31:24
PRATT 2:10,18	progressing 32:17	17:8 18:14 19:13	regardless 55:17	reserved 4:16
20:25 21:4,20	Protocol 3:14	25:16 26:6,8,14	REGENTS 1:7	resolve 36:22
29:22 30:2 42:22	protracted 51:19	26:17 27:6,10,14	Registered 4:9	respective 4:3,13
44:23 45:3,7	provided 54:10	28:22 30:8 33:18	Reichard 3:12	respond 33:23,24
47:19 52:24 53:2	provides 38:13	34:8,16,19 39:15	29:5,7,8 38:12	response 43:5
57:10,22	PRW 1:6	40:18,24 41:19	54:20	48:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		42:12 44:5,6,8		
precedes 54:2	<b>PSC</b> 3:14 7:10	44:14 46:5 49:4	relationship 11:5	responses 46:19
prepare 7:5	14:13,15 29:9,19	52:15 53:3,17,24	11:8 12:14	responsiveness
presence 55:11	37:20,21 38:1	55:3,4,13 56:4,9	relative 60:16	4:15
present 14:7 40:4	40:4,5,17,21	receive 13:15	relatively 54:24	retake 51:3
54:24 55:3,14	43:2,6 53:7	19:10 27:24	remain 17:22	retired 8:2 57:14
pretty 23:10	54:23 55:11	34:10 38:15,22	remember 9:18,19	retirement 8:12
previous 39:23	PSC's 54:25	received 23:10	10:4 11:23 13:4	reverse 43:7
probably 21:16	<b>PSE</b> 7:13	27:11,23 31:9,10	14:25 15:18,25	review 7:5,8 10:25
52:1	<b>public</b> 48:19	32:25	17:2,18 18:20	16:5 25:24 28:22
problem 45:6	59:12,16	receiving 11:8	19:8 22:18 23:22	29:2 42:1
		receiving 11.0		
1				

				6.7
rovious od 7:0 0 10	2.18 20 22 10.10	24.10.22.26.6.19	sir 22:1	16:5,22 38:11,13
reviewed 7:9,9,10 9:22 16:7 18:17	3:18,20,22 10:10 13:20,25 17:11	34:10,22 36:6,18		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
34:18 42:1	,	37:5 38:2,2,4	sit 17:10,12 situation 16:14	38:18,24 41:22 44:1
	17:12 18:8,9,10	42:14 43:13,24		
right 5:18,25 8:24	18:12,16,16,19	43:25	28:7 37:9 38:2	stands 7:14
12:22,23 14:13	19:2,9,10,15,18	secondhand 18:20	48:24	start 21:7
17:6,15,17 18:2	19:21 20:20	see 8:20,23 23:3	situations 33:6	started 25:5 26:13
20:15,19,21 21:7	27:25 28:16,24	24:20 26:9 31:12	skip 30:4	starts 36:17 43:2
22:4,9 23:19	29:12,19 31:7,17	32:5,13 39:6	slowly 11:17	state 2:11 4:8,10
24:17 25:21 27:2	31:19 32:18	40:12 41:9 43:6	small 9:15 10:10	5:12,15 8:5 9:5,6
27:6,19 28:11,25	33:16 34:7,10	47:21 50:10	13:16,17	27:9 56:3 59:2
29:6,20 30:2,5	38:3,4,25 46:20	52:20	SMU 24:19,23	59:12 60:2,5,14
30:14 31:4,6	48:4,7 49:17	seeing 27:6 30:8	26:9	statement 54:12
32:9 33:20 34:13	50:8	34:16 42:12	solely 32:19	<b>STATES</b> 1:1
35:23 37:13 38:6	rotations 10:15	seen 36:8 49:6	soon 31:6	stay 17:5 38:24
38:9 39:1,4,10	17:14,16 18:6	57:4	sorry 5:14 16:1	45:19
39:15,16,18 40:5	31:20	semester 22:21	22:19 25:14,19	step 37:7
40:25 41:3 42:7	rounds 18:22	send 51:23	26:13 29:11,22	stick 20:5
42:9 43:7 44:21	routine 38:4	sending 49:10	29:24 30:16 31:5	Stillwater 1:17
45:7,10 46:10	<b>RPR</b> 1:22 58:4	sense 9:21 50:8	37:24	2:12 4:7 60:13
47:3,24 49:12,15	59:24 60:22	sent 24:25 30:13	sort 18:15 34:20	stipulated 4:2,12
51:7 52:19,22	rude 7:2	30:23 45:16 48:3		stipulations 4:1
53:10 57:23	<b>Rule</b> 5:5	48:5,9 51:12,16	sounded 17:19	16:16 17:6,8,21
<b>Risco</b> 25:13,15	rules 4:11 6:1	52:4,10,13,17	span 54:6	18:5 20:14 51:24
44:11,18 54:9	rundown 9:1	sentence 24:18	speak 7:20 28:13	60:14
Rivera 3:15	<u> </u>	54:7	33:9	stood 16:9 45:17
Rivera-Pierola	<b>SAIM</b> 3:6	September 57:15	speaking 36:20	stop 44:25
1:4 3:9 6:10 9:12		57:19	specific 44:17	strategies 14:1
23:8 54:9,16	saying 40:2 43:10	Services 48:13	specifically 53:19	strategy 14:7,11
55:8	says 23:5 24:18	set 60:15,18	54:17 56:6	Street 1:23
Rivera-Pierola's	26:9 37:6 40:9	setting 57:9	<b>spoke</b> 10:9 32:10	<b>struggle</b> 23:9 25:1
26:12 55:23	41:8 50:7	<b>shakes</b> 56:10	33:12 35:7 43:11	struggled 12:19
<b>Robin</b> 48:10,12	Schmitz 1:22 4:7	share 16:25	43:16	struggling 49:5
54:20	58:4 59:24 60:4	<b>SHEET</b> 58:1	spoken 7:17	<b>student</b> 2:11 3:6
<b>role</b> 8:19 10:24	60:22	<b>short</b> 26:5	<b>SS</b> 60:2	10:11,18 11:14
11:18 23:25	school 7:17 8:14	shorthand 4:8	<b>St</b> 10:18,21,24	14:6 15:5,7 16:2
35:15,19	9:2,3,6 25:3	60:4,10,23	11:5,14,21,25	18:24 19:6,16,17
roll 47:25	schools 12:10 13:5	shortly 50:13	12:4,6,9,14,25	22:17 28:5,16
<b>Ross</b> 11:16 23:16	22:19	<b>shot</b> 47:10	13:4,6,11 22:12	31:6 32:17,20,22
23:20,25 24:13	score 19:11,14	<b>sides</b> 32:4	23:8 25:2,7,8	33:12 36:21
24:18 26:6,9,22	27:24 34:11	<b>sign</b> 46:3 57:22	26:14,18,22 27:8	37:25 38:17,19
26:25 27:1,7,12	screen 21:18	significant 7:22	27:13,15	40:10 46:25 47:3
27:14	seal 60:19	32:2	stakes 57:3	47:6,14 48:13
Ross/St 3:5	second 13:23,24	similar 18:23	Standards 7:15	49:4 52:2 53:6
<b>rotation</b> 3:6,7,10	14:3,18 28:9	<b>single</b> 56:11	14:5,8 15:2 16:3	53:23 55:2,5
<u> </u>				

				68
56:15	60:7	6:19,19 9:16	26:3 29:13 30:25	violations 56:5
student's 40:3	syllabus 20:5,7,13	12:25 13:7,15,22	40:11 43:4	virtually 18:22
57:6	49:23 51:6	13:23 18:21	ultimate 16:10	vote 29:9 42:6
students 10:21,23	Syp 34:21 35:7	24:14 25:4 28:3	24:13 38:14	vs 1:6
11:3,10,21,25		31:20 34:8 38:22	ultimately 11:13	
12:1,3,4,5,6,9,20	T	43:24,25 44:4	20:11,18 23:17	W
12:24 13:3,6,11	take 6:7 12:9 24:5	49:3 54:12,15,21	32:7 50:19	<b>W</b> 2:10
14:4 20:6,7,12	27:2 29:9 33:16	55:8,23 56:2	uncommon 49:21	want 21:7,14
22:13,20 23:8	37:13 38:6 39:1	57:24 59:6 60:12	undersigned	wanted 14:2 20:22
24:23,25,25 25:7	39:18 44:23,25	timeframe 22:19	59:12	32:13 37:18
25:8,11 31:17	51:7 53:12	<b>Title</b> 5:6	understand 6:1,5	51:19
33:14 35:19	taken 1:15 4:5,10	today 6:2,6,11 7:3	6:13,15,15 20:10	wanting 49:16
37:22,22 46:20	5:19 41:15 45:8	7:6,18 9:23	36:19 50:3	warranted 55:24
48:6 50:4 56:6	60:10,12	55:22 57:24	understanding	wasn't 49:21
57:5	talk 14:1,2	told 34:4 47:12	10:17 19:5 34:12	way 35:11 36:24
submit 15:13	talked 30:5 40:23	52:5	36:25 42:18	56:23,24
submits 16:2	49:15	top 26:5 27:7 37:5	50:16	ways 40:8
submitted 41:4,20	Technically 51:25	42:23 50:5	understood 6:21	we'll 19:4,4 52:25
SUBSCRIBED	Telephone 2:6,12	topic 40:19	7:4	57:22
59:11	tell 7:14 10:4,12	total 8:25 37:8	Union 2:11	we're 9:11 14:1
	25:4 36:3 38:9		UNITED 1:1	21:16,16,20,21
successfully 17:13	45:1 47:2,8	transcribed 60:11		we've 17:25 30:4
	51:11	transcript 59:3	university 2:11	44:24 49:5
<b>suggest</b> 19:19	tended 12:1 49:25	transcription 59:5	7:24 8:5,21,24	week 31:20,22
28:18	termination 3:18	transcripts 10:25	9:5,6,13 26:25	welcome 11:1
suggested 20:3	3:20	11:9,19	56:3	went 9:5 12:8,11
40:3 49:19	testified 5:8	treat 50:4	unprofessional	20:4
suggestion 53:21	testified 5.8	treated 20:8	47:4	weren't 18:25
suggestions 49:22	testiny 5.7 60.7	trial 4:16 57:15,18	unusual 37:25	West 2:5
Suite 1:23 2:5	<b>Thank</b> 45:7 57:23	57:19	52:2 54:17 56:13	WESTERN 1:2
summarized		true 59:4 60:11	<b>upset</b> 18:25 19:1	WESTERN 1.2 When's 9:16
43:10	things 6:19 49:23	truth 5:7,8,8 60:7	usual 21:3	WHEREOF
<b>summary</b> 7:10	54:18 57:3 think 13:25 14:1,2	60:8,8	usually 10:11 15:1	60:18
38:10,14	*	try 10:13 14:6	24:14 31:4,5,21	
supervise 35:16	17:11 21:4,16,22	trying 7:2,2	33:15 41:17	Wilson 48:10,12
supervisory 35:17	23:20 25:19	turn 57:3	$oxed{\mathbf{V}}$	54:20
Supreme 5:7	28:19 31:19	two 7:11,22 8:10		witness 5:1,4 22:2
sure 9:22,22 20:7	47:24 50:3 51:20	13:8 17:13 22:13	Vegas 2:5	30:3 42:24 52:23
25:21 36:19	52:11,25 53:9	31:22 36:19	veterinary 8:6 9:6	56:10 57:10 58:2
37:25 42:13	thought 18:24	<b>two-page</b> 53:13	9:7 56:3	60:18
surprise 35:13	54:18	<b>type</b> 35:16 38:1	video 4:4 46:24	wouldn't 57:14,17
surprised 44:11	thread 46:13	<b>typically</b> 28:4,15	VIDEOCONFE	writing 15:11
suspension 45:23	three 8:11 31:20		1:14	written 39:22
switched 23:22	57:1	U	violation 55:25	
sworn 5:5 59:11	time 4:16,17,18	<b>uh-huh</b> 6:25 23:2	56:14	

				69
Y	<b>13th</b> 1:23	<b>3-11-20</b> 3:11	<b>642</b> 30:6	
	<b>14</b> 1:23 3:16 42:10	<b>3/21/20</b> 3:12		
yeah 8:25 11:24	<b>1451</b> 47:17	<b>3/23/20</b> 3:12 <b>3/23/20</b> 3:13	7	
30:3 32:19 42:15	<b>1452</b> 47:19	<b>3:42</b> 57:25	<b>7</b> 3:9 30:6	
42:24 45:1	<b>1454</b> 47:17	<b>30</b> 3:8,9 5:24	<b>702.925.8787</b> 2:6	
year 9:9 12:5,11	<b>1460</b> 49:13	<b>31</b> 60:24	<b>73104</b> 1:23	
22:17 40:10	<b>1461</b> 49:13	<b>34</b> 3:10 5:5	<b>74078</b> 2:12	
53:24 54:22 56:6	<b>15</b> 1:16 3:17 4:6	<b>353</b> 21:8	<b>7881</b> 2:5	
56:15,19 57:6	44:22 45:11 58:3	<b>354</b> 21:8		
years 5:24 8:10,21	60:12	<b>355</b> 25:22	8	
8:22,24 57:1	<b>16</b> 3:18 8:22 46:11	<b>356</b> 25:22	<b>8</b> 3:10 34:14	
	<b>165</b> 2:5	<b>357</b> 27:4	<b>89117</b> 2:5	
zoology 9:4	<b>17</b> 3:20 47:16 48:3	<b>37</b> 3:11		
<b>Zoom</b> 1:22 2:3,9	<b>18</b> 3:22 49:13	<b>38</b> 3:12	9	
18:23	<b>18230</b> 60:22	<b>39</b> 3:13,14	<b>9</b> 3:11 37:14	
	<b>19</b> 3:23 51:8 53:11			
0	<b>1986</b> 9:10	4		
<b>00823</b> 60:23	1st 30:13,24	<b>4</b> 3:6 27:20		
<b>056</b> 44:22 45:11		<b>4/6/20</b> 3:17		
<b>057</b> 46:11	2	<b>40</b> 3:15		
<b>061</b> 46:11	<b>2</b> 3:4 5:6 25:22	<b>405</b> ) <b>235-3376</b> 1:24		
<b>084</b> 51:9	<b>2:04</b> 60:13	<b>405.744.6494</b> 2:12		
	<b>20</b> 8:21,24	<b>41034</b> 34:14		
1	<b>2018</b> 22:8 23:1	<b>41175</b> 38:7		
<b>1</b> 3:3 21:8 26:2	24:8 25:18,20	<b>42</b> 3:16		
39:22 53:11	<b>2019</b> 25:18 30:13	<b>44</b> 3:17		
<b>10</b> 3:12 38:7	30:24	<b>4580</b> 27:20		
<b>100</b> 11:24	<b>2020</b> 50:7,13	<b>4598</b> 29:1		
<b>101</b> 1:23	53:20	<b>46</b> 3:18		
<b>1035</b> 34:14	<b>2023</b> 1:16 4:6 58:3	<b>47</b> 3:20		
<b>11</b> 3:13 39:2	59:14 60:12,19	<b>49</b> 3:22		
<b>1176</b> 38:7	60:24			
<b>1178</b> 39:2	<b>20th</b> 50:7	5		
<b>12</b> 3:14 5:6 13:9	<b>21</b> 3:3	<b>5</b> 2:17 3:7 29:1		
39:19 53:12	<b>21st</b> 23:1	<b>51</b> 3:23		
<b>121</b> 39:19	<b>22nd</b> 39:6	<b>53</b> 2:18		
<b>1215</b> 39:19 53:13	<b>23rd</b> 53:20	<b>58</b> 2:20		
54:6	<b>25</b> 3:4	<b>581</b> 27:20		
<b>1216</b> 39:19 53:13	<b>25th</b> 60:19	<b>59</b> 2:21		
54:6,7	<b>27</b> 3:5,6	<b>5th</b> 2:11		
<b>1299</b> 41:1	<b>28</b> 3:7	6		
<b>13</b> 3:15 41:1,3	<b>296</b> 37:14	<b>6</b> 3:8 30:4		
<b>1301</b> 41:1		<b>60</b> 2:22		
<b>1384</b> 42:10	3	<b>638</b> 30:6		
<b>1385</b> 42:10	<b>3</b> 3:5 27:3	<b>030</b> 30:0		

From: Ross, Chris

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 8:55 PM CDT To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: Re: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

No, not so bad. Let's see what they say. Our call.

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 21, 2018, at 4:29 PM, Gilmour, Margi margi.gilmour@okstate.edu> wrote:

Hmm – after pondering since we talked, I still have concerns about the River-Perola student. Is it so bad to tell them we are concerned that with his grades in the clinical courses he will not be successful here?

From: Ross, Chris

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 1:36 PM

To: Gilmour, Margi <marqi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: Re: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Well, I forwarded the transcripts to you about five minutes after I got them.

Should we put a floor under the gpa?

Also, did we not agree that we were going to pull back from SMU?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 21, 2018, at 1:28 PM, Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu> wrote:

It may be too late since I seem to be entering later into this conversation. But I would be caustious with River-Perola because St. Matthews students struggle more than others in our program, and he received Cs and Cs in pretty much all the clinical courses.

From: Ross, Chris

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 12:28 PM
To: Gilmour, Margi <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a>>

Subject: FW: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Fy

I don't see any huge issues in either. I will check to see why Mr. River-Perola is not enrolled this fall.

From: Gloria Miranda-Avila [mailto:qmavila@stmatthews.edu]

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 11:57 AM

To: Alan Emsley

Cc: Ross, Chris; Kershaw, Lucinda; Gregg BeVier

Subject: Re: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Hello,

Please see the attached transcripts as requested by Dr. Emsley.

Kindly, Gloria

Gloria Miranda Avila Registrar St. Matthew's University U.S. Office 12124 High Tech Ave. #290 Orlando, FL 32817 Phone: 800.498.9700 x-1005 Fax: 800.565.7177 or 407.488.1743 Fax Email: 815-377-3837 E-mail: registrar@stmatthews.edu

On Mon, Aug 6, 2018 at 10:42 AM, Alan Emsley < Obans Rover@msn.com > wrote:

Hi Chris and Lucy,

We have two nominees for placement into your program in May 2019. They are:

and

Gilmour Deposition Exhibit 1

Jonathan Rivera-Pierola

By copy of this email, I am requesting that our registrar, Gloria Miranda-Avila, email you their transcripts through fifth semester after grades are recorded later this month.

In the case of there will appear on transcript an "Incomplete" that will be resolved early in the next semester. Just completed the MARVET program. SMU students can get one elective credit for Aquatic Animal Medicine if they do 12 hours of MARVET and then do a presentation on a related topic. What usually happens though is that they get an incomplete for the elective at the end of the summer semester (this semester) and then they do the presentation at the beginning of next semester (week 2) and once they pass the presentation they get the incomplete changed to a pass (or fail). I am asking that Gloria send you an updated transcript after the 'Incomplete" grade has been replaced by a grade. If you have any concerns about this, please let me know.

Thanks for all you do for our students. Alan

From: Ross, Chris

Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2018 8:55 AM CDT To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

I can see letting SMU know about our concerns in this case. Maybe imposing a gpa limit on applications going forward. Bringing up Rivera-Perola's gpa would get the discussion started.

From: Gilmour, Margi

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 4:30 PM

To: Ross, Chris

Subject: RE: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Hmm – after pondering since we talked, I still have concerns about the River-Perola student. Is it so bad to tell them we are concerned that with his grades in the clinical courses he will not be successful here?

From: Ross, Chris

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 1:36 PM

To: Gilmour, Margi < margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: Re: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Well, I forwarded the transcripts to you about five minutes after I got them.

Should we put a floor under the gpa?

Also, did we not agree that we were going to pull back from SMU?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 21, 2018, at 1:28 PM, Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu> wrote:

It may be too late since I seem to be entering later into this conversation. But I would be caustious with River-Perola because St. Matthews students struggle more than others in our program, and he received Cs and C-s in pretty much all the clinical courses.

From: Ross, Chris

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 12:28 PM

To: Gilmour, Margi < margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: FW: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Fvi

I don't see any huge issues in either. I will check to see why Mr. River-Perola is not enrolled this fall.

From: Gloria Miranda-Avila [mailto:gmavila@stmatthews.edu]

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 11:57 AM

To: Alan Emsley

Cc: Ross, Chris; Kershaw, Lucinda; Gregg BeVier

Subject: Re: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Hello,

Please see the attached transcripts as requested by Dr. Emsley.

Kindly, Gloria

Gloria Miranda Avila Registrar St. Matthew's University U.S. Office 12124 High Tech Ave. #290 Orlando, FL 32817 Phone: 800.498.9700 x-1005 Fax: 800.565.7177 or 407.488.1743 Fax Email: 815-377-3837 E-mail: registrar@stmatthews.edu

On Mon, Aug 6, 2018 at 10:42 AM, Alan Emsley < Obans Rover@msn.com > wrote:

Hi Chris and Lucy,

We have two nominees for placement into your program in May 2019. They are:

Gilmour Deposition Exhibit 2

# Jonathan Rivera-Pierola

By copy of this email, I am requesting that our registrar, Gloria Miranda-Avila, email you their transcripts through fifth semester after grades are recorded later this month.

In the case of there will appear on transcript an "Incomplete" that will be resolved early in the next semester. just completed the MARVET program. SMU students can get one elective credit for Aquatic Animal Medicine if they do 12 hours of MARVET and then do a presentation on a related topic. What usually happens though is that they get an incomplete for the elective at the end of the summer semester (this semester) and then they do the presentation at the beginning of next semester (week 2) and once they pass the presentation they get the incomplete changed to a pass (or fail). I am asking that Gloria send you an updated transcript after the 'Incomplete" grade has been replaced by a grade. If you have any concerns about this, please let me know.

Thanks for all you do for our students. Alan

From: Ross, Chris

Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2018 12:24 PM CDT

To: Gloria Miranda-Avila <gmavila@stmatthews.edu>; Alan Emsley <Obans\_Rover@msn.com>

CC: Kershaw, Lucinda < lucinda kershaw@okstate.edu>; Gregg BeVier < gbevier@mac.com>; Gilmour, Margi

<margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Hello, all.

Just a note to confirm our acceptance of both of your students. We do have some concerns about Mr. Rivera-Pierola based on his gpa. I hope that they both do well.

Chris.

From: Gloria Miranda-Avila [mailto:gmavila@stmatthews.edu]

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 11:57 AM

To: Alan Emsley

Cc: Ross, Chris; Kershaw, Lucinda; Gregg BeVier

Subject: Re: Nominees for placement into clinicals in May 2019

Hello,

Please see the attached transcripts as requested by Dr. Emsley.

Kindly, Gloria

Gloria Miranda Avila Registrar St. Matthew's University U.S. Office 12124 High Tech Ave, #290 Orlando, FL 32817 Phone: 800.498.9700 x-1005 Fax: 800.565.7177 or 407.488.1743 Fax Email: 815-377-3837 E-mail: registrar@stmatthews.edu

On Mon, Aug 6, 2018 at 10:42 AM, Alan Emsley < Obans Rover@msn.com > wrote:

Hi Chris and Lucy.

We have two nominees for placement into your program in May 2019. They are:

and Jonathan Rivera-Pierola

By copy of this email, I am requesting that our registrar, Gloria Miranda-Avila, email you their transcripts through fifth semester after grades are recorded later this month.

In the case of the will appear on transcript an "Incomplete" that will be resolved early in the next semester. It is completed the MARVET program. SMU students can get one elective credit for Aquatic Animal Medicine if they do 12 hours of MARVET and then do a presentation on a related topic. What usually happens though is that they get an incomplete for the elective at the end of the summer semester (this semester) and then they do the presentation at the beginning of next semester (week 2) and once they pass the presentation they get the incomplete changed to a pass (or fail). I am asking that Gloria send you an updated transcript after the 'Incomplete' grade has been replaced by a grade. If you have any concerns about this, please let me know.

Thanks for all you do for our students.

Alan

Gilmour Deposition Exhibit 3

# Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 31 of 65

From: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 11:10 AM CDT
To: Naff, Adam <adam.naff@okstate.edu>
Subject: FW: Student Grade for SAIM Rotation 7

Attachment(s): "Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan (final).xlsx", "Jonathan Evalue comments.docx"

Hi Adam,

Can you send me this student's E-Value evaluation? Thanks!

Dr. G

From: Lyon, Shane <shane.lyon@okstate.edu> Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 8:52 AM

To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>; Kershaw, Lucinda <lucinda.kershaw@okstate.edu>

Cc: Nafe, Laura <nafe@okstate.edu>
Subject: Student Grade for SAIM Rotation 7

Dr. Gilmour and Lucy,

Attached you will find the grade information for Jonathan Rivera-Pierola. For SAIM, the clinicians and residents independently score the student using our grading rubric (which can be found in the SAIM syllabus on Moodle) and then those scores are averaged. The attached Excel file represents the average score he received and this is what will be entered into E-Value. You will also find a Word document which contains the comments for him on the rotation. Dr. Nafe will enter this information in E-Value today, but I wanted to get it sent to you both as soon as possible so that you can begin the processes required for him at this time.

Dr. Nafe and I met with him yesterday and discussed these concerns in person and informed him that he would be receiving a 'D' on the rotation. We also informed him that he would be required to repeat the rotation and that he should be able to do this on his vacation rotation.

Please let us know if there is anything further you need from us at this time.

Shane

Shane D. Lyon, DVM, MS, DACVIM (SAIM)
Associate Professor, Small Animal Internal Medicine
Oklahoma State University College of Veterinary Medicine
Stillwater, OK 74078
(405) 744-7000

Gilmour Deposition Exhibit 4

#### Page 32 of 65 Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23

From: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 11:18 AM CDT
To: Naff, Adam <adam.naff@okstate.edu>
Subject: PS

I also need to know who Jonathan's (Rivera-Pierola) advisor is.

Margi Gilmour, DVM, DACVO Associate Dean for Academic Affairs College of Veterinary Medicine Oklahoma State University 405-744-8471 margi.gilmour@okstate.edu

## Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 33 of 65

From: Reichard, Mason <mason.reichard@okstate.edu> Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 3:41 PM CDT To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: Failed rotation

Perfect, that makes things even easier!

I'll send to PSC shortly.

From: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 3:41 PM

To: Reichard, Mason <mason.reichard@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: Failed rotation

This was his first rotation.

From: Reichard, Mason <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu</a>>

Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 3:25 PM
To: Gilmour, Margi <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a>

Cc: Wilson, Robin <a href="mailto:sobin.wilson@okstate.edu">state.edu</a>; Kershaw, Lucinda <a href="mailto:lucinda.kershaw@okstate.edu">lucinda.kershaw@okstate.edu</a>; Holyoak, Reed

<reed.holyoak@okstate.edu>
Subject: RE: Failed rotation

Hi Dr. Gilmour.

Yes, I can send to the PSC for review shortly.

Can you arrange to have evaluations from Jonathan's previous rotations sent to me as well? Despite this being a straight forward case as Jonathan is not on probation and is eligible to repeat, some members of the committee are likely to inquiry how he did on his previous rotations.

Regards,

### Mason

From: Gilmour, Margi <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a>>

Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 11:50 AM

To: Reichard, Mason <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu</a>>

Cc: Wilson, Robin <a href="mailto:yobin.wilson@okstate.edu">yobin.wilson@okstate.edu</a>; Kershaw, Lucinda <a href="mailto:lucinda.kershaw@okstate.edu">lucinda.kershaw@okstate.edu</a>; Holyoak, Reed

<reed.holyoak@okstate.edu>
Subject: Failed rotation

Hi Mason,

Jonathan Rivera-Pierola, a St. Matthews student, failed his first rotation in Small Animal Internal Medicine. He can re-take it on Rotation 5 (June 29-July 12). Attached is his evaluation sheet from the rotation, and Dr. Lyon's email describing the grading and that they met with Jonathan (note the attachments in the email are all contained in the evaluation PDF). Could you please send out the PSC for a vote on allowing Jonathan to repeat the SAIM rotation?

Thank you!

Margi

Margi Gilmour, DVM, DACVO Associate Dean for Academic Affairs College of Veterinary Medicine Oklahoma State University 405-744-8471 margi.gilmour@okstate.edu

> Gilmour Deposition Exhibit 5

From: Reichard, Mason <mason.reichard@okstate.edu> Sent: Wednesday, October 02, 2019 8:21 AM CDT

To: Clary, Erik <erik.clary@okstate.edu>
Subject: RE: Review and vote needed for PSC

Thanks Erik!

#### Mason

From: Clary, Erik <erik.clary@okstate.edu> Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 9:42 PM

To: Reichard, Mason <mason.reichard@okstate.edu>

Cc: Davis, Michael <michael.davis@okstate.edu>; Allen, Kelly <kelly.allen10@okstate.edu>; Gilliam, Lyndi

diliam@okstate.edu>; Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>; Hinsdale, Myron <myron.hinsdale@okstate.edu>;

Wilson, Robin <robin.wilson@okstate.edu>
Subject: Re: Review and vote needed for PSC

i agree - allow Jonathan to repeat the rotation.

Erik

Erik M. Clary, DVM, PhD Diplomate, ACVS Associate Professor of Surgery and Bioethics Oklahoma State University Center for Veterinary Health Sciences erik.clary@okstate.edu

On Oct 1, 2019, at 4:43 PM, Reichard, Mason < mason.reichard@okstate.edu > wrote:

Thanks Mike!

#### Mason

From: Davis, Michael <a href="michael.davis@okstate.edu">michael.davis@okstate.edu</a>>

Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 4:09 PM

To: Reichard, Mason <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu</a>; Allen, Kelly <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">kelly.allen10@okstate.edu</a>; Clary, Erik

<erik.clary@okstate.edu>; Gilliam, Lyndi <a href="mailto:sgillmour"><a href

Myron <myron.hinsdale@okstate.edu>; Wilson, Robin <re>robin.wilson@okstate.edu></re>

Subject: Re: Review and vote needed for PSC

I vote to recommend repeating the rotation. Also suggest that Dr. Nafe be explicitly commended for the time and thought that she invested in this evaluation.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S7, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: "Reichard, Mason" < mason.reichard@okstate.edu>

Date: 10/1/19 15:57 (GMT-06:00)

To: "Allen, Kelly" < kelly.allen10@okstate.edu>, "Clary, Erik" < erik.clary@okstate.edu>, "Davis, Michael"

<michael.davis@okstate.edu>, "Gilliam, Lyndi" <l.gilliam@okstate.edu>, "Gilmour, Margi" <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>, "Hinsdale,

Myron" <myron.hinsdale@okstate.edu>, "Reichard, Mason" <mason.reichard@okstate.edu>, "Wilson, Robin"

<robin.wilson@okstate.edu>

Subject: Review and vote needed for PSC

All,

Dr. Gilmour has requested our review and recommendation for Jonathan Rivera-Pierola, a St. Matthews student, who received a "D" grade in the Small Animal Internal Medicine rotation. This was Jonathan's first rotation here at OSU. Attached is Jonathan's evaluation for SAIM.

Please let us know your vote "yea" or "nay" giving Jonathan the opportunity repeat the rotation.

Gilmour Deposition
Exhibit 6

# Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 35 of 65

If Jonathan is allow to repeat, he can re-take SAIM on Rotation 5 (June 29 through July 12).

As this is Jonathan's first rotation here and there are no known mitigating circumstances, my vote is "yea" to have him repeat the rotation.

Regards,

Mason

From: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu> Sent: Thursday, October 03, 2019 5:38 PM CDT

To: Nafe, Laura <nafe@okstate.edu>
CC: Lyon, Shane <shane.lyon@okstate.edu>
Subject: RE: Jonathan Rivera-Pierola advisee

Thank you very much. My meeting went pretty much as would be expected.;)

Gilmour Deposition Exhibit 7

No mention of a grade appeal, however.

From: Nafe, Laura <nafe@okstate.edu> Sent: Thursday, October 03, 2019 2:41 PM

To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>; Lyon, Shane <shane.lyon@okstate.edu>

Subject: Re: Jonathan Rivera-Pierola advisee

Hi Margi,

I agree with everything Shane said in his email regarding Jonathan's performance and the timing of things that happened during the rotation.

One thing that I did not mention in his evaluation on E-value (that perhaps I should have) is that I had 3 separate people (2 doctors and a technician) approach me regarding concerns that Jonathan was dishonest. I did not include these situations in his evaluation, because I did not witness these specific instances. While I trust the 2 doctors (Dr. Moore and Dr. Irizarry) and technician (Marla) that they would not make up these scenarios, I wanted to give Jonathan the benefit of the doubt and perhaps these were more of a miscommunication than a blatant lie. However, after meeting with him on Monday, September 30th, I believe that these situations were likely to be dishonesty on his part and not a misunderstanding or miscommunication. There are just too many examples of situations like this during his time on medicine and ER. I have explained the 2 situations that I am referencing below.

- 1. Jonathan saw a recheck of a chronic medicine patient (Pee Wee Walker) who has IMHA and has been managed the past few years at OSU with various immunosuppressive medications and a splenectomy. He has had many recheck evaluations during that time. His owner is very well educated on his medications and disease. When Dr. Moore asked Jonathan what medications PeeWee was receiving, Jonathan told Dr. Moore that PeeWee was not receiving any medications. When Dr. Moore informed Jonathan that he knows PeeWee is on medications (as he is very familiar with PeeWee's case). Jonathan replied that he asked the owner and she was not sure what medications PeeWee was receiving or prescribed. This owner knows exactly what medications this dog is receiving. So either he didn't ask her and said he did. Or he did ask her and he didn't ask it in a way that allowed her to properly answer the question? I think the first possibility is most likely (but that is my opinion). Marla approached me about this case separate from Dr. Moore and was concerned that "Jonathan lied to Dr. Moore about PeeWee." Marla is not the type of come talk to me about student performance so this concerned me. This case was on the last week of the rotation (Tuesday 9/24).
- 2. Dr. Nikol Irizarry saw a case on emergency with Jonathan on 9/14/19 (Rex #191358) that I did not hear about until the last week of the rotation. She informed me that Jonathan asked if he could leave at the end of his shift. She asked him if the ICU sheet had been completed for Rex and if so he could go. He informed her that he had completed the ICU sheet. She let him go and then an hour later she noticed that the ICU sheet was not complete. None of the inside was highlighted and not all of the medications were included on the inside of the ICU sheet. When the technician on ICU called Jonathan about this he told them that Dr. Irizarry told him he didn't have to complete the ICU sheet. So again, a case of either him being dishonest OR a misunderstanding or miscommunication between Jonathan and Dr. Irizarry.

These are just a few examples of big concerns regarding his honest and communication skills. Like Dr. Lyon said, this is much deeper than a few mishaps with communication. He was frequently not prepared for his cases, did not complete medical records, medical records were inaccurate, ICU treatment sheets were both late and inaccurate (including significant medication errors in dosages or frequency that could have been fatal depending on the medication), and an overall lack of work ethic in my opinion. He is fairly smart in some areas demonstrated by his rounds knowledge and even knowledge about his inpatients when rounding on the morning of Thursday, Sept 26th. He knew what medications his patients (Milo and Lucy) were receiving and why they were receiving those medications. So I know he has the skills. In my opinion, he doesn't seem to care enough about his performance to rise to his full potential and this could lead to significant errors in case management if he were to pass medicine and not have to repeat this rotation to improve his educational experience.

I have included the evaluations from Dr. Adam Moore (3rd year resident) and Dr. Sam Bailey (2nd year resident). This includes their comments. I condensed the comments for his official evaluation on E-value so he has not seen these comments word for word.

I also know that the situation with Milo's discharge on Saturday, Sept 28th was also a big concern. I have included a series of group-me texts from his conversation with Dr. Moore regarding Milo's discharge. I do think Dr. Moore could have communicated his expectations for Jonathan's presence for the discharge better; however, Milo was Jonathan's patient for almost an entire week. I would hope that he would be interested in being present for the discharge. He did work from Friday at 7am - 11 pm and then Saturday from 2 am to 7 am. However, that would have given him approximately 5 hours to sleep (accounting for an with an hour to get home and fall asleep and an hour to wake up and get ready) before the discharge. He was clearly awake and texting Dr. Moore via group-me at 1:03 pm on Saturday (discharge was scheduled for 2 pm). He also should have never left that morning (Saturday morning) without touching based with Dr. Moore about his inpatient (Milo).

Another example that I mentioned, but wanted to expand on was a case I saw with Jonathan on 9/25 (also the last week of the rotation). This was a recheck lymphoma patient that is underlying chemotherapy. He did not seem to know that this patient had received mitoxantrone (chemo) the week prior and was only here for bloodwork. He told me she was due for mitoxantrone (which the discharge is very clear this is not the case). He could not explain to me why we would be checking bloodwork on this patient 1 week post-chemotherapy. Again, even if you don't know that it is standard to check white blood cell count 1 week post-mitoxantrone, this demonstrates to me that he did not read the prior discharge, as it very clearly states why the patient was returning on 9/25. I am attaching a copy of his attempt at the discharge for this patient which also lists a complete neurological exam. I did not witness him performing a full neuro exam (neither did I at this visit), but I guess it is possible he did this when I was not around. But to me, this is again an example of him being lazy with a lack of attention to detail, as many aspects of the discharge are incorrect or were not performed at this visit (see the highlighted portions).

I am happy to discuss more in person. Sorry this is so long and late. Thanks for your help! Laura

Below are comments from both residents regarding Jonathan's performance:

I had a number of cases with Jonathan and he was consistently not prepared to discuss the case with the clinican. He displayed blatant dishonesty when presenting the case and often failed to ask key questions when gathering a history. For example, PeeWee Walker was one of the rechecks he signed up for and he initially stated PeeWee was not currently prescribed any medications at the time of recheck. I disagreed with him given I am very familar with PeeWee's history. He then stated the owner was not aware of the current medications that PeeWee was prescribed. These are contradictory statements. Jonathan was a poor communicator with regard to hopitalized in patients and was never present in ICU at 7:15 to discuss our hospitalized in patient (Milo Butler). Jonathan was consitently late with having the treatment sheet completed and the document contained multiple errors each day. Often the drug calculations were incorrect and ICU called Jonathan into the ICU each morning to correct these inaccuracies. Jonathan also did not complete Milo's 7am treatments and would frequently only write the respiratory rate. When I asked Jonathan why Milo was not receiving a physical examination every morning he replied that Milo was sleeping and he did not want to wake him. Interestingly, Milo consistently had values written for the 7am TPR and ICU mentioned to me that a physical examination was not performed. Jonathan also failed to be present for Milo's discharge from the hospital despite a verbal communication I had with him in the hallway on Friday afternoon. I had told him that the owner would arrive at the hospital at 2pm. Jonathan did not show for the discharge despite our communication via groupme at 1pm, one hour prior to discharge. The medical record for Milo was below average and did not contain a cohesive summary of Milo's medical problems despite Milo being hospitalized for an extended period of time. I feel that Jonathon should have to repeat the small animal rotation and should take ownership of his cases. The dishonesty is also concerning.

Medical records are frequently incomplete, late, and erroneous. Patient care is minimal at best, student made ICU do all of his physical exams for hospitalized patients. Treatment sheets were significantly late every morning (30-60 minutes). Incomplete histories taken from owners. Student had complete physical exams when he did perform them. Did not read up on cases or have a solid knowledge base. Overall, his attitude was not professional and he was not interested in learning. Student would leave without talking with the clinician, even before all of his patients were discharged.

Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW	Document 43-11	Filed 07/27/23	Page 38 of 65
A. Nafe, DVM, MS, DACVIM (SAIM)			

Laura A. Nafe, DVM, MS, DACVIM (SAIM)
Assistant Professor, Small Animal Internal Medicine
Oklahoma State University

From: Gilmour, Margi < margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 8:43 AM

To: Lyon, Shane <shane.lyon@okstate.edu>; Nafe, Laura <nafe@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: Jonathan Rivera-Pierola advisee

Thank you so much. This will help me a lot if I have to meet with him. It will put an end to the he-said/she-said business.

From: Lyon, Shane <<u>shane.lyon@okstate.edu</u>> Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 8:41 PM

To: Gilmour, Margi < margi.gilmour@okstate.edu >; Nafe, Laura < nafe@okstate.edu >

Subject: Re: Jonathan Rivera-Pierola advisee

Hi Dr. Gilmour,

I can fill you in on the case I was involved with (Lucy) and I am cc'ing Laura to provide additional information on the other instances. Lucy was in the hospital Monday to Friday of last week. I was off clinics, but she is a long term patient of mine and I was the clinician on the case. I would arrive in the morning (before 7:00 in most instances) to look at her and see how she did overnight. Jonathan routinely did not have his ICU orders completed by 7:30 in the morning, there was maybe 2 days out of 4 that he did. He never initiated communication with me (text, GroupMe, email, phone call) about how he thought Lucy was doing. I had to check in with him and instigate those conversations. This included both morning and afternoon/evening assessments of her. The only time that he would message me was if she was out of a medication that I needed to fill from pharmacy or if she was regurgitating. His discharge instructions for this visit were copied and pasted from her prior visit in August. At that time we suspected she had a bacterial infection in her airway +/- pneumonia. When she was scheduled to be discharged, there were several medications which she had been on for >24 hours orally in hospital (metoclopramide, enrofloxacin, and maropitant) that were not included in her discharge instructions. He did have the amoxi/clav in her discharges, but that information was copied and pasted from he previous visit. I essentially wrote the discharges so that she could be discharged on time. He was in grand rounds when she was discharged and I discharged her alone (which is totally fine - just letting you know in case that is brought up). He should have had ample time to draft complete and accurate discharges, but failed to do

# Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 39 of 65

so. So, my perspective was that he didn't communicate with me at all about her case. Granted, I was off clinics and not readily available, so again I am willing to forgive many of these issues. However, SAIM utilize GroupMe (a group message app) to communicate with each other about patients.

The rest of the concerns I will need Laura to help clarify if I am misunderstanding. Another case, PeeWee, which was seen by Dr. Moore is a long term patient of SAIM. He was presented for routine recheck. Jonathan informed Dr. Moore that PeeWee was on no medications. Marla was a witness to this conversation. PeeWee is on several medications for a chronic disease. This was confirmed by the owner when Dr. Moore asked her. It appeared that Jonathan didn't ask the client this information, but when questioned by Dr. Moore he essentially lied about the information (again confirmed by Marla). There was another instance were Laura was concerned that he was being dishonest. It revolved around his ER shift. Laura was informed that he failed to complete his ICU treatment sheet for a patient that was seen on ER. When asked about this, he informed us that Dr. Irizzary told him he didn't need to do the ICU treatment sheet and that he could go home. Dr. Irizzary recalls the story differently and reports that she told him to do so before he left. She called him and him come back to complete the paperwork. As these both MAY have been some communication problems, we elected to leave this out of his final grade report but we do feel strongly that he lied to us on 2 occasions.

As far as the situation around discharging his patient on Saturday (last Saturday of the rotation). He was on SAIM on Friday. He had an ER shift from 5:00 PM to 11:00 PM on Friday. He then went home, but was called back in at 2:00 AM. He was there until just before 8:00. He left the hospital before Dr. Moore had a chance to speak with him about his inpatient Saturday morning, which was the patient that was scheduled for discharge. Dr. Moore, having no idea that he was in the hospital all night. did text him to inquire about discharging the patient. There was no reply. When asked about this he told us that we was sleeping and didn't receive the text. That is completely fine. However, it was his responsibility to remain in the hospital to speak with Dr. Moore (or Dr. Nafe) regarding his inpatient before leaving for the day. Had he done this we would have known that he was in the hospital all night and would not have expected him to be present for the patient's discharge. We tell the students in orientation (and it is in the syllabus) that it is their responsibility to inform us in the morning if they were on ER the previous night. If so, we will gladly send them home to sleep and excuse them for the day. He failed to do so. When Laura and I asked him about this on Monday morning, when we informed him that we were going to give him a 'D' for the rotation, his reply was that we should know who was on ER the previous day. We informed him that yes, there is a schedule for ER, but we have no idea which students leave for the day at 11:00 PM (when the scheduled shift is over) and which students are there longer. Students on ER are not always there until 7:00 or 8:00 in the morning and we cannot keep up with their individual schedules. So yes, Dr. Holyoak is correct that we as a service failed to realize that he was supposed to be off that Saturday after working for nearly 24 hours. However, it was his responsibility to communicate that information to us, which he did not do

He did not receive a mid-block evaluation. The first week I was on clinics. He had 1 inpatient (with Dr. Clark I believe) and there were a few missteps. We attributed those to this being his first rotation ever and moved on. The second week was slower for him (no inpatients and lots of rechecks) and his deficiencies were not obvious. The third week was when all of these aforementioned problems arose. This is beyond the requested highlighted information, but my final comment is that he did not fail for poor communication alone. There were several other grading criteria which places him in jeopardy of failing. The most significant of these was repeated mistakes/oversight for patient treatment orders. I am completely willing to overlook a single incident where a medication dose is listed incorrectly or where treatment times are misidentified. However, I pointed these mistakes out to him and the very next day he failed to highlight the correct times for a medication to be given - the SAME drug as the day before. To me this conveys one of two things: a lack of attention to detail or an apathetic approach to patient care. Either one, in my opinion, constitutes grounds for failure, even without the communication problems.

Laura - please clarify if I have made any mistakes and to expound on anything beyond what I have listed here.

Dr. Gilmour, please let us know if there are any additional points of clarification needed. I am sure that either one/both of us would be happy to meet with you in person if that would help.

Shane

On Oct 1, 2019, at 7:12 PM, Gilmour, Margi < <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a> wrote: Just so I have all my ducks in a row if I meet with this student, can you comment on highlighted section?

From: Holyoak, Reed <reed.holyoak@okstate.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 3:53 PM
To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>
Subject: RE: Jonathan Rivera-Pierola advisee

Margi,

I just spoke with Jonathan. He didn't have a mid-block evaluation. There seems to be some significant communications lapses. I believe as in all of these, there was a lack on both sides. He has texts and timelines that suggest that communications to him during the day after he had filled an all-night ICU shift did not recognize that he was to be off.

I did not communicate that to Johnathan, however. He did have a personal issue with his father being in an accident and in the hospital that may have affected his performance too. But we all must be professional. I asked him to come visit with you. I am not sure that this grade would withstand an appeal.

Let me know if there is anything further you would have me do.

Reed

G. Reed Holyoak, DVM, PhD, DACT

reed.holyoak@okstate.edu
<image002.jpg>

From: Gilmour, Margi < margi.gilmour@okstate.edu >

Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 11:52 AM

# Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 40 of 65

To: Holyoak, Reed < reed.holyoak@okstate.edu>

Subject: Jonathan Rivera-Pierola advisee

Hi Reed,

Can you reach out to Jonathan to see if you can guide him in strategies to improve his performance in clinics? I think Shane and Laura tried to, but at the time Jonathan was a bit defensive due to his failing grade. Maybe talking to a more "neutral" person would help! J

Thank you!!

Margi

Margi Gilmour, DVM, DACVO Associate Dean for Academic Affairs College of Veterinary Medicine

Oklahoma State University

405-744-8471 margi.gilmour@okstate.edu

From: Burba, Daniel on behalf of Burba, Daniel <dburba@okstate.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2020 7:52 AM CST To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: CP Rotation Problems

Good morning Margi,

As a follow up to Jonathan R-P's meeting, I met with Dr. Syp. From her perspective Jonathan was deficient in knowledge and skills. It sounded like he was non-aggressively argumentive, would not admit to his mistakes. In one situation, Dr. Syp asked if him if he was comfortable to doing spays and he said he was. Well, according to Dr. Syp he broke sterility twice and was not approaching the procedure correctly. She had to step in. At the meeting on Thursday, Dr. Syp, Paul, Jonathan met in Paul's office. Dr. Syp was explaining his deficiencies to Jonathan and that he will need to repeat the rotation. He became more argumentive and relentless. To the point that Dr. Syp stated that she had no more to say but Jonathan just continued. According to Dr. Syp, that is when Paul stepped in and told Jonathan to "shut up". At that point the discussion escalated to the point that Paul needed an out before he felt he was going to go too far. That's when he came to my office with Jonathan.

So, I am glad that Paul recognized that he needed to get his anger under control, but I am going to meet with Paul and challenge him to go a step further and demonstrate leadership by maintaining total control from the beginning of the situation or conversation. I had a meeting with the Dean and he told me that he had just met with the parent of Jonathan.

Jonathan has already failed one other rotation (SAIM) and he still has SAS, anesthesia, Shelter Surgery to get through.

Let me know if you need anything else from me.

Have a good day.

Dan

Daniel J Burba, DVM, DACVS
Professor, Equine Surgery
Head, Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences
McCasland Professor of Biomedical Laser Surgery



VETERINARY MEDICINE



405-744-8469 (o)

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Gilmour, Margi

Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 12:17 PM

To: Burba, Daniel

Subject: RE: CP Rotation Problems

Thanks. Keep me in the loop since I will be meeting with the student. I met with him over the last failed rotation and he is not an easy student to discuss with – very defensive and lots of excuses – but again, why this would prompt the instructors to meltdown is beyond me.

M

From: Burba, Daniel <dburba@okstate.edu>
Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 12:09 PM
To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: CP Rotation Problems

I agree this is not acceptable. Both (Paul and Dr. Syp) of them could have easily de-escalated the conversation with J R-P, but it sounded like after talking to Jonathan R-P they continued to persist to try to impose their will. So I am going to meet with Dr. Syp to get her side of the story.

Daniel J Burba, DVM, DACVS
Professor, Equine Surgery
Head, Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences
McCasland Professor of Biomedical Laser Surgery



VETERINARY MEDICINE



405-744-8469 (o)

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Gilmour, Margi

Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 10:00 AM

To: Burba, Daniel

Subject: RE: CP Rotation Problems

#### Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 42 of 65

Interesting. I was going to talk to you about the meltdown with Jonathan R-P. I'm not sure I understand how 2 faculty members could lose emotional control when speaking to a student. Do you know more? Both Jonathans have their unique problems, but losing control or "targeting" (if she truly was) is not acceptable.

From: Burba, Daniel <a href="mailto:dburba@okstate.edu">dburba@okstate.edu</a> Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 7:42 AM
To: Gilmour, Margi <a href="mailto:dmargi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a> Subject: FW: CP Rotation Problems

Good morning Margi,

sent me this email last night and just wanted to pass it along to you FYI. Interestingly, my meeting with Jonathan Rivera-Pierola yesterday had a similar theme. I am getting concerned about Dr. Syp. I am going to meet with her. Jeff has told me that he has gotten complaints from clients of her rudeness.

It never ends!

Daniel J Burba, DVM, DACVS
Professor, Equine Surgery
Head, Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences
McCasland Professor of Biomedical Laser Surgery



VETERINARY MEDICINE



405-744-8469 (o)

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From:

Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2020 7:15 PM

To: Burba, Daniel

Subject: CP Rotation Problems

Hi Dr. Burba,

I'm having some issues with Dr. Syp. Everything was going well the first week, but once I came back from my conference her entire attitude towards me had changed. She seems like she's acting passive aggressive towards me. Last week my mentor died while I was at the conference. I tried my best to keep it together that week. I thought I was doing a decent job, but she confronted me on Friday saying I had been "snippy" with receptionists and accused me of case dodging in not so exact words. She told me I should put it behind me and bring my A game the next week (this week) and sign up for a lot of cases. She said based on my case load she could not adequately evaluate me. However, at that time I had been there a total of 7 days, 4 of which they put me on intern and 1 day of Dr. Demars, I had seen several cases through ER. She said that the intern's evaluation doesn't count for very much and that I need to sign up for more cases or she can't evaluate me. So this entire week I have been trying to bring 110% to try to prove my aptitude, and she had only criticized me and put a lot of pressure on me to perform. Aside from nervousness (that I think is justified given the situation), I think I have been performing very well. Yet she still treats me like I have done something terribly wrong. At this point Dr. Demars said he has not seen anything wrong with my performance, and sounds like he has the exact opposite opinion of me than Dr. Syp does. But he said that in her eyes I am on the edge and if I don't do phenomenally on the exam, my grade will suffer severely. He doesn't think I will fail, but I also get the impression that she is sugar coating her feelings to Dr. Demars and will try to fail me or give me a D so I have to repeat the rotation. They waited until the day before the last day to bring this up (right before the exam). They told me to sign up for more cases for tomorrow, but there are only 7 appointments for 4 students which I have 2 of them and none of my rotation mates will give up their cases. I don't know what to do and I don't want to do and I don't want to have to repeat the rotation. They approved my days off and I think it is unfair that I am under this much criticism. Especially considering they made the schedule and put me on intern for those days, then criticize me for not having enough cases.

I just wanted to bring this to your attention. I'm not sure if there's anything anybody can do at this point. I don't know what else to do except keep doing the best that I can.

Thank you for your time, Jon Marlow



Meeting with Jonathan Rivera-Pierola 3-11-20 part b 3:15-5:00 pm

#### See scanned notes.

After listening to Jonathan's review of the rotation, I explained that a grade appeal is solely based on if the syllabus is followed and applied equally to all students with respect to expectations and grading. Similar concerns were noted by both clinicians and the grading policy was followed as described.

We discussed the case of not having treatments done by 7:30 am. Jonathan presented a UVIS screenshot where the TPR was entered at 7:30, and several documents he said were from the CP Packet that showed a discrepancy in times for ICU treatments to be done. (All scanned as part c). Because this one case was a relatively minor concern with respect to the largest issues of inadequate knowledge and overconfidence and/or not communicating his level of comfort with cases, even if this case was not included, he still would not have passed the rotation.

I did ask if he would like to address the PSC since there is the possibility of being dismissed from the program. He does. I discussed strategy for approaching that meeting – i.e. address the clinician's concerns and how he plans to meet expectations should he be given the opportunity to repeat the rotation. Stay very focused and positive.

Also discussed ways of demonstrating engagement on a rotation as he describes himself as "laid back" which he feels is interpreted as not caring/not engaged.

Dr. Mason V. Reichard Professor Department of Veterinary

250 McElroy Hall Stillwater, OK 74078

March 21, 2020

COLLEGE OF **VETERINARY MEDICINE** 

Dr. Gilmour,

The Professional Standards Committee (PSC) met on March 18, 2020 and reviewed the case of Mr. Jonathan Rivera-Pierola, a St. Matthews University student, who earned two "D" grades during his 4th-year clinical rotations. At this meeting, Mr. Rivera-Pierola was given the opportunity to:

- Present mitigating circumstances relative to his below acceptable academic performance on Small Animal Internal Medicine (SAIM) and Community Practice (CP) rotations
- 2. Provide a compelling plan to improve his performance on clinical rotations

After meeting with Mr. Rivera-Pierola and thorough deliberation, it is the recommendation of the PSC that Mr. Rivera-Pierola be dismissed from our academic program. We do not take this recommendation lightly. Ultimately, our recommendation for dismissal was based on his repeated poor academic performances, lack of accountability for clinical errors in multiple rotations, recurrent unprofessional behavior, and inability to take constructive criticism to improve his professional and clinical skills.

Mr. Rivera-Pierola was first brought to the attention of the PSC on October 01, 2019 when he earned a "D" in SAIM. Mr. Rivera-Pierola was provided a thorough and constructive evaluation of his performance on SAIM particularly noting deficiencies in communication, attention to detail, patient care, completion of medical records, accurate history taking/preparation, and professionalism. At that time, the PSC recommended Mr. Rivera-Pierola remediate SAIM by repeating it. This was communicated to him on October 3, 2019 in a letter from Dr. Margi Gilmour, Associate Dean of Academic Affairs. Also in that letter, Mr. Rivera-Pierola was informed that he was placed on academic probation which is standard policy (Section X, CVM-OSU Handbook, 2019–2020) for any student that earns a "D" grade.

After earning a "D" in SAIM, Mr. Rivera-Pierola earned an "A" in the Theriogenology Elective, "C" in Equine Medicine, "C" in Zoological Medicine Elective, "C" in Small Animal ICU/EMS, "C" in Food Animal Medicine, and "B" in Radiology. Of note for these rotations that Mr. Rivera-Pierola passed, were unfavorable comments from his instructors. These comments demonstrated a repeated lack of clinical performance and in some cases, an inability to improve despite instruction from different clinicians on separate rotations. Please see his rotation evaluations for all comments, select examples are provided:

Equine Medicine: "... it seemed like you were reluctant to take cases. This is how you will learn the most during your clinical year. Additionally, I think punctuality could be improved. Your laid back attitude made you easy to work with but sometimes it could be viewed as lack of interest in cases."

Zoological Medicine: "You've shown some improvement but in several cases/instances, we have concern about your clinical judgement and interpretation of the information. Ensure with each case you have reviewed all details and not only remember to include these details in your medical record but also apply critical thinking to why each abnormality occurred. We recommend reviewing your previous cases and seeing the edits that were performed on these medical records. Consider the overall case. For example, an animal should not be considered healthy if you know it has active disease that you are treating it for...there are some aspect like giving injections or maintaining sterility of an IV line that are expected at this time..."

Small Animal ICU/EMS: "During the time in ICU, I felt as if Jonathan did struggle quite a bit. With patient treatments, he would take a prolonged amount of time completing a single patient. Also, when it came to trying to complete patient treatments, sometimes he would ask or tell his ICU shift partner to complete his patient treatments. Although he did improve with some communication from the

technicians and his peers...Overall you did well...My biggest critique was not finishing one patient before beginning on another. When you do this, things will get missed or are done incorrectly..."

Food Animal: Your case log was pretty low owing to having more simple cases and fewer overall cases; we encourage you to remember to utilize your time on clinics as a learning opportunity. Was not as helpful to rotation mates and less working as a team member. I would recommend working hard to improve your basic knowledge and clinical skills; keep a positive and open attitude; don't be afraid to ask questions, but also when answering, try to focus on the specifics of the question and not just ramble something off to get an answer out...be thoughtful about your responses. Its ok not to know all the questions, just keep at it; Thanks and good luck!!"

On March 13, 2020, the PSC was informed that Mr. Rivera-Pierola earned a second "D" grade in CP. As he was already on academic probation, Mr. Rivera-Pierola was given the opportunity to present any mitigating circumstances to the PSC relative to his unsatisfactory performance. During the meeting, Mr. Rivera-Pierola continually implicated others for miscommunications that lead to conflicts with clinicians, indicated he was blamed for things he did not do, that he was wrongly accused, and that it was not fair he had SAIM for his first rotation at CVM-OSU. Furthermore Mr. Riveria-Pierola communicated little to take ownership of his situation and tell us how he was going to learn from this situation and improve his skills to become a clinical veterinarian. He did mention that he would essentially inundate the clinicians on his next rotations at every decision point as to what to do or what should be done. The PSC interpreted his response as an inappropriate course of action and that he would not willing to take ownership of his future clinical decisions.

It is the opinion of the PSC that Mr. Rivera-Pierola did not comprehend that the clinicians were trying to teach him to improve his clinical skills. Instead, he was fixated on several instances which he thinks caused him to be given the "D" grades as opposed to an overall level of below standard performance. It also become apparent to the PSC that Mr. Rivera-Pierola's professional relationship with CP clinicians deteriorated precipitously to the point it became toxic when they met to go through his rotation evaluation. Despite thorough and constructive comments from the clinicians, Mr. Rivera-Pierola's unprofessional response and inability to accept constructive criticism was deemed unacceptable by the PSC.

The PSC determined that Mr. Rivera-Pierola's mitigating circumstances were not sufficient to explain his unsatisfactory performance. Similarly, Mr. Rivera-Periola provided no logical plan of improvement for his training to become a clinical veterinarian. Of the four PSC members present during the meeting, all four voted to recommend dismissal of Mr. Rivera-Pierola from our professional curriculum. Two members of the PSC were not able to attend the meeting and did not vote.

Regards,

Professional Standards Committee (PSC) 2019–2020

Dr. Kelly Allen

Dr. Mike Davis

Dr. Erik Clary

Dr. Lyndi Gilliam

Dr. Myron Hinsdale

Dr. Mason Reichard, Chair

From: Risco, Carlos A <carlos.risco@okstate.edu>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 9:58 AM CDT
To: Shields, Deborah <deborah.shields@okstate.edu>

Subject: FW: Print for my 11 am

Attachment(s): "Rivera-Pierola\_PSC Dismissal Recommendation\_21Mar2020.docx"

Print the attached letter for my 11 am

**Thanks** 

CARLOS RISCO, DVM, DACT

PROFESSOR AND DEAN
College of Veterinary Medicine

405.744.6648 • 205 McElroy Hall

carlos.risco@okstate.edu • vetmed.okstate.edu

From: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Sent: Sunday, March 22, 2020 3:09 PM

To: Risco, Carlos A <carlos.risco@okstate.edu>

Subject: FW: PSC recommendation letter for Jonathan Rivera-Pierola

Hi Carlos,

We can talk about this Monday when you have time so I can go over what can happen at this point. I would like to send Jonathan the letter tomorrow, but would prefer to meet with you first.

Thanks, Margi

From: Reichard, Mason <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu</a>>

Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2020 9:40 AM
To: Gilmour, Margi <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a>
Cc: Wilson, Robin <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">mobin.wilson@okstate.edu</a>

Subject: PSC recommendation letter for Jonathan Rivera-Pierola

Dr. Gilmour,

Please find attached a letter recommending dismissal of Jonathan Rivera-Pierola. If there is any other information you need from the PSC, please let me know.

Regards,

Mason

Mason Reichard, MS, PhD Professor College of Veterinary Medicine Oklahoma State University Stillwater, OK 74078

## Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 47 of 65

From: Reichard, Mason <mason.reichard@okstate.edu>

Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 5:07 PM CDT

To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>; Wilson, Robin <robin.wilson@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: PSC protocol

Sounds good

Gilmour Deposition Exhibit 12

From: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 4:58 PM

To: Reichard, Mason <mason.reichard@okstate.edu>; Wilson, Robin <robin.wilson@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: PSC protocol

Yes – ABSOLUTELY NO HURRY TO THIS! I just wanted to throw it out there before I forgot. We'll review after the semester when we usually make changes to handbook.

From: Reichard, Mason <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu</a>>

Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 4:55 PM

To: Gilmour, Margi <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<">"margi.gilmour@okstate.edu<

Subject: RE: PSC protocol

I agree. I also have a few note somewhere about other edits we wanted to make in the handbook for PSC. I'll find them, compile them, and send you way. I'll wait till after things calm a bit. I think everyone is still scrambling to implement online teaching. Hopefully it is not so onerous after this first week back.

Have a good evening,

Mason

From: Gilmour, Margi <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a>

**Sent:** Monday, March 23, 2020 4:45 PM

To: Reichard, Mason <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu</a>; Wilson, Robin <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">robin.wilson@okstate.edu</a>>

Subject: RE: PSC protocol

I say we make it so, and include in the Student handbook for next year.

From: Reichard, Mason <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu</a>>

**Sent:** Monday, March 23, 2020 4:32 PM

To: Gilmour, Margi <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a>; Wilson, Robin <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">robin.wilson@okstate.edu</a>;

Subject: RE: PSC protocol

That is a great idea. Having their advisor present seems so obvious now that it has come to light.

I'm glad the letter was well received. I really do feel bad for Jonathan. It appeared he had the abilities to be successful here. For some reason, his chosen behavior was self-destructive.

From: Gilmour, Margi <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a>>

Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 4:17 PM

To: Reichard, Mason <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">mason.reichard@okstate.edu</a>; Wilson, Robin <a href="mason.reichard@okstate.edu">robin.wilson@okstate.edu</a>>

Subject: PSC protocol

Hi,

Have not had time to bring this up previously, but the dean suggested we consider having the student's advisor be present when a student meet with the PSC. After the meeting with the student, the PSC would excuse the student and ask for input from the advisor. This would just provide another layer of information/insight to consider for decision purposes. Like if the student had non-academic issues, or if the student never contacted his/her advisor after attempts made by the advisor, etc.

# Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 48 of 65

This does NOT have anything to do with the Rivera-Pierola decision. Dr. Risco was very complimentary of the letter you provided!

M.

Margi Gilmour, DVM, DACVO Associate Dean for Academic Affairs College of Veterinary Medicine Oklahoma State University 405-744-8471 <a href="margi.gilmour@okstate.edu">margi.gilmour@okstate.edu</a> From: Risco, Carlos A

Sent: Monday, March 30, 2020 1:12 PM CDT To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: Rivera letter

Attachment(s): "Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan 3-29-20.pdf"

Jonathan's letter - we can discuss

#### Thanks



CARLOS RISCO, DVM, DACT PROFESSOR AND DEAN College of Veterinary Medicine 405.744.6648 • 205 McElroy Hall carlos.risco@okstate.edu • vetmed.okstate.edu

To: Dr. Carlos Risco, DVM, DACT
Dean of the College of Veterinary Medicine of Oklahoma State University

To: The Members of the Professions Standard Committee

Re: Dismissal from the College of Veterinary Medicine Program at Oklahoma State University

I am writing to appeal my academic dismissal from the CVM Program at OSU. Thank you for allowing me to have the opportunity to explain the circumstances that led to this point, as well as my action plan to improve on my performance in the program.

I met with the Professions Standard Committee on March 18, 2020 and I was unable to fully express the circumstances that led to my failed rotations. I got caught up in answering questions and found myself out of time to disclose the background situations that may have affected my performance and which situations I believe are relevant to the decision now being appealed. Also, as we are currently living through the COVID 19 pandemic, the anxiety of the meeting was increased and the distraction of current events did not permit a full discussion of my continued participation in the CVM Program.

I did not ignore the suggestions given to me on the evaluations. I took them seriously and attempted to implement them as best as I could. In retrospect, I failed to portray this clearly so that my professors could see the work I put into the recommended changes. I was perhaps too quiet, giving a misconception of who I am and what I stand for and the seriousness with which I took the suggestions and my continued and strong desire to continue at OSU.

During my Internal Medicine rotation, my father had a syncopal episode leading to a motor vehicle accident. He was rushed to the hospital and found to have a chest contusion, rib and sternal fractures and was admitted to the cardiac critical care unit. He was being evaluated for possible emergent cardiac surgery and stayed in the CCU for several days. This kept me anxious and distracted during my rotation. In retrospect, I now see that I should have communicated this to my professors or even taken a leave of absence rather than continue in the rotation.

On my Community Practice rotation, I got caught up in miscommunications leading to ambiguities and misunderstandings. This caused me to become stressed with fear of getting involved in another misunderstanding that may lead to my failure of the rotation. I truly internalized the constructive criticism and attempted to correct various issues on my own. In retrospect, I should have increased my communication with my professors and asked for assistance when needed.

I am receiving counseling with an OSU psychologist, Mr. Jeremiah Grissett, as recommended by the Dean of CVM. He is helping me see my role in these situations and providing me with good advice.

I truly have learned and appreciate all the clinicians' feedback through my rotations. I plan to utilize every piece of constructive criticism that I have received in the following manner:

- 1. Communication: I will make sure to communicate with the clinicians/residents/technicians involved in each case to ensure proper feedback on my performance and direction on results and areas of improvement. Specifically, I will ask for feedback from my clinicians at the beginning and end of each week so I could be in constant communication and agreement with them. And, I will ask for clarification if I am unclear on any instructions or assignments.
- 2. Case Preparation: I will improve on the preparation of cases. I will be more thorough in taking and documenting the history and physical exam. I will bring the documentation to my feedback sessions to go over with the clinicians in detail.
- 3. Patient care- I will arrive earlier than requested in the syllabus to ensure my review of patient's vital signs, medication sheets and to be sure that overnight notes have been double checked prior to rounds.
- Demonstrate proper professional ethical behavior by working with my professors more frequently in a verbal and transparent way to avoid miscommunications.

Although I have not passed the Internal Medicine and Community Practice rotations, evaluations in both of these courses stated I have the potential to become a good veterinarian.

For example, from Internal Medicine:

"We are confident that you will achieve these goals. Jonathan, you have the knowledge and skills to pass small animal internal medicine and be a good veterinarian. We are hopeful that you will take these constructive comments and areas of improvement as ways to continue to develop as a future veterinarian. being capable of becoming a good clinician."

After evaluation of above mentioned and taking into consideration the changes I am willing to make now and in the next rotations, I am asking for reconsideration of the decision made on March 18, 2020. Becoming a veterinarian is of paramount importance to me. I am asking for the opportunity to be reinstated to the CVM Program at OSU and to demonstrate my willingness to do all that is necessary to become a competent veterinarian of whom this Program can be proud.

Sincerely

Jonathan Rivera-Pierola, MPH

#### Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 52 of 65

From: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu> Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2020 4:05 PM CDT To: Risco, Carlos A <carlos.risco@okstate.edu>

**Subject:** RE: Jonathan Rivera-Pieorola appeal to the Dean **Attachment(s):** "Risco proposal for sanctions 3-30-20.docx"

Hi Carlos,

FYI I summarized the recommendations you had outlined when we last spoke about Jonathan's case. I have attached them for your convenience in case you elect to let Jonathan continue in the program. Also, so you don't have to look it up, the academic policy reads at this point: "The PSC shall respond . . . to the Dean within 5 working days after the appeals hearing, and the Dean shall render his decision within 5 working days of receipt of the recommendation. The decision of the Dean shall be final and no further appeal will be available. The Dean will provide a summary report to the PSC detailing the basis for his final action regarding the appeal."

We will want to provide him with a decision before Friday April 10<sup>th</sup> as that is the last day of the current rotation.

Let me know if you need anything else or have any questions.

#### M.

From: Reichard, Mason <mason.reichard@okstate.edu>

Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2020 2:07 PM
To: Risco, Carlos A <carlos.risco@okstate.edu>
Cc: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: Jonathan Rivera-Pieorola appeal to the Dean

#### Dr. Risco,

The PSC meet yesterday to hear the appeal of Mr. Jonathan Rivera-Pierola. After a thorough discussion of his letter and comments, we found little to no justification for us to reverse our recommendation for dismissal. Please see the attached letter for more details.

I truly wish we had a different outcome for Mr. Rivera-Pierola.

#### Respectfully,

#### Mason

From: Risco, Carlos A <<u>carlos.risco@okstate.edu</u>>
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2020 3:47 PM
To: Reichard, Mason <<u>mason.reichard@okstate.edu</u>>

Cc: Gilmour, Margi < margi.gilmour@okstate.edu > Subject: Jonathan Rivera-Pieorola appeal to the Dean

#### Dear Dr. Reichard,

On March 29, 2020, I received from Jonathan Rivera-Pieorola the attached written appeal to reconsider the recommendation by the PSC for dismissal from the CVM professional program. In accordance to the CVM Academic Standards Policy 8.2, Section 14, I am requesting that the committee consider his appeal and respond or make further recommendations to me within (5) working days after the appeals hearing. In his appeal, Jonathan addresses circumstances that contributed to his below acceptable academic performance on the Small Animal Internal Medicine and Community Practice rotations. Importantly, he provides a plan to improve his professional demeanor and performance on clinical rotations.

Thank you for your willingness and that of the committee to consider this request.



CARLOS RISCO, DVM, DACT PROFESSOR AND DEAN College of Veterinary Medicine 405.744.6648 \* 205 McElroy Hall carlos.risco@okstate.edu \* vetmed.okstate.edu

3-30-20

### What Risco proposed for J R-P:

- 1. Finish rotation he is currently in (online now)
- 2. Be suspended from program until we are back to in-person rotation
- 3. Remediate CP and SAIM before being allowed to complete remaining rotations
- 4. Must receive C or higher. If he receives a D he will be dismissed with no PSC review or appeal.
- 5. He will be on probation for the remainder of his time here; if he receives a D in any other rotation, he will be dismissed with no PSC review or appeal.
- 6. He must adhere to the actionable items in his letter of appeal



Office of the Dean 205 McElroy Hall Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078

Phone: (405) 744-6648 Fax: (405) 744-6633

April 6, 2020

#### Dear Jonathan,

The Professional Standards Committee (PSC) met on April 3<sup>rd</sup> to consider your appeal. The Committee's decision was to stand by their recommendation of dismissal. However, the Dean's final decision is to allow you to stay in the professional program under Academic Suspension, the details of which are:

- 1. You will complete the current rotation (Rotation 16) and must achieve a C grade or higher. Failure to do so will result in dismissal from the program with no PSC review or appeal.
- 2. You will be placed on Academic Suspension until both failed rotations (Community Practice and Small Animal Internal Medicine) are remediated through participation in in-person rotations. Due to the COVID-19 crisis and current use of on-line curriculum, you will be suspended from clinical rotations until the hospital reopens to students and in-person rotations resume. Lucy Kershaw will work to schedule your rotations as soon as possible, but understand the date this can be done is currently unknown.
- 3. You must receive a C grade or higher in both remediated rotations. Failure to do so will result in dismissal from the program with no PSC review or appeal.
- 4. You will be on Academic Probation for the duration of your clinical year. Failure to receive a C grade or higher on any rotation during the remaining clinical year will result in dismissal from the program with no PSC review or appeal.
- 5. You will be held accountable to the four items in your plan of improvement listed in your letter of appeal: communication, case preparation, patient care, and professional and ethical behavior.

Lucy Kershaw will be in contact with you as soon as we know when the hospital will return to normal operations. Please be sure to update her with any change of your contact information. If you choose not to accept the above conditions of Academic Suspension and Academic Probation, please let me know at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Margi Gilmour, DVM, DACVO Associate Dean for Academic Affairs

Hargi A- Siemour



#### Rivera-pierola, Jonathan <jonariv@ostatemail.okstate.edu>

#### EARLY TERMINATION OF ANESTHESIA ROTATION

6 messages

Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Fri, Apr 10, 2020 at 3:17 PM

To: Huma Valji <humavalji@gmail.com>, Tiffany Chisholm <tiffanylchisholm@gmail.com>, "Barritt, Alisha L" <alisha.barritt@okstate.edu>, "Kraft, Trey" <trey.kraft@okstate.edu>, "Larrabee, Kyre Ellen" <kyre.larrabee@okstate.edu>, "Mizuno, Hisato" <hmizuno@okstate.edu>, "Powell, Aubrey" <aubrey.powell10@okstate.edu>, "Stuart, Megan" <megan.stuart@okstate.edu>, "Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan" <jonariv@okstate.edu> Cc: "Burba, Daniel" <dburba@okstate.edu>, "Gilmour, Margi" <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Hello students in anesthesia rotaon 16,

As you know this has been a very trying me f or everyone; we understand this is not how you expected to spend your anesthesia rotaon. In addion, it was also not our expectaon to run a service without any students. As professionals, though, it is an expectaon tha tour student colleagues demonstrate paence, under standing and posiv e attitudes.

Unfortunately, I am disappointed with the negav e attitude and comments that have been displayed by this rotaon group. Unbeknownst to you, a comment was overheard on Wednesday 4/8 by faculty and staff that was completely unacceptable. The comment: "Thank God we have only two more days of this s\*\*\*" is unprofessional- it should have been met with stronger push back from parcipa ng s tudents.

Addionally, if you were in the clinics, you would be expected to be available from 7a-5p and be on-call; as such, it is an expectaon that you are available for rounds/case discussions/etc. from 7a-5p. Given the situaon we are experiencing with Covid, I have not objected to you taking me a way from your computer to take a break from learning and disperse in between morning and a. ernoon rounds, to run errands or else. Perhaps I should have been clearer from the beginning. Your job was to be engaged full me in this rotaon, which a times means o verworking. Spending longer than expected periods of me on the computer during this virtual rotaons is equivalent to being here all day doing one case after the other, without me to eat or take a break. Many students in previous rotaon had to do so.

I have been working relessly to provide you with as much 'in the moment' learning as possible; this is not an easy task. I would have appreciated a more collegial response from students who are about to join this profession in a few weeks. I am disheartened that you are not working r elessly as we are in the hospital to get the most out of this rotaon to gether. I appreciate the students who have not complained and who have put in the me and entire to make this experience worthwhile.

As clinic expectaons gr ow and my physical and mental resources are overstretched, I believe it will be in our best interest to terminate the anesthesia rotaon a t this me, 2.15 pm, Frida y April 10th, 2020. Today's afternoon rounds are cancelled.

Your grades will be posted someme ne xt week.

Best,

Stefano Di Concetto

Gilmour Deposition Exhibit 16

Plaintiff 057 Fri. Apr 10, 2020 at 4:09 PM

#### Case 5:21-cv-0061kGriDiffaValate UDvackinnmainteABL111ermfriAteIdn07f2Rf28HesiRaoteattionof65

Cc: Huma Valji <humavalji@gmail.com>, Tiffany Chisholm <tiffanylchisholm@gmail.com>, "Barritt, Alisha L" <alisha.barritt@okstate.edu>, "Kraft, Trey" <trey.kraft@okstate.edu>, "Larrabee, Kyre Ellen" <kyre.larrabee@okstate.edu>, "Mizuno, Hisato" <hmizuno@okstate.edu>, "Powell, Aubrey" <aubrey.powell10@okstate.edu>, "Stuart, Megan" <megan.stuart@okstate.edu>, "Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan" <jonariv@okstate.edu>, "Burba, Daniel" <dburba@okstate.edu>, "Gilmour, Margi" <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Dr. Di,

4/24/2020

I want to speak on behalf of the whole group in saying we didn't mean to make you feel unappreciated and upset you. We understand that you were doing your best under the current conditions.

On our end we are all working double time to take care of school in the midst of this pandemic and times are stressful. We should've been better at supporting each other and you.

Again I'm sorry we made you feel bad - you are appreciated and you went above and beyond to get us the best experience.

Best,

Trey Kraft

On Apr 10, 2020, at 2:17 PM, Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

Larrabee, Kyre <kyrel@ostatemail.okstate.edu>

Fri, Apr 10, 2020 at 4:19 PM

To: "Di Concetto, Stefano" <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Cc: Huma Valji <humavalji@gmail.com>, Tiffany Chisholm <tiffanylchisholm@gmail.com>, "Barritt, Alisha L" <alisha.barritt@okstate.edu>, "Kraft, Trey" <trey.kraft@okstate.edu>, "Larrabee, Kyre Ellen" <kyre.larrabee@okstate.edu>, "Mizuno, Hisato" <hmizuno@okstate.edu>, "Powell, Aubrey" <aubrey.powell10@okstate.edu>, "Stuart, Megan" <megan.stuart@okstate.edu>, "Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan" <jonariv@okstate.edu>, "Burba, Daniel" <dburba@okstate.edu>, "Gilmour, Margi" <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Dr. Di Concetto,

This email has come as a shock to many of us. I completely understand how trying this situation is for all parties involved and many of us in this rotation have commented several times how hard this must be for clinicians to alter their teaching styles. We have mentioned many times through the technical challenges how we admire how hard you were trying for us. It did not go unnoticed.

As for the comment that was mentioned on Wednesday, although I do not know who stated it but as a group we all agree that it is highly unprofessional. Yes, we may all get frustrated at times but we recognize as future colleagues that it is unacceptable.

We also understand that if we were able to be in the clinic that we would be expected to be available from 7am-5pm. I know your job hasn't been made any easier by having to take on double duty at the hospital while we are gone. If I may say without offending you, the set of expectations as far as the scheduling were made with students understanding that the student on-call would be available throughout the day when they were scheduled. Many of us, since we are unable to come to OSU-VTH, have moved home and started working at their respective jobs or are working other jobs throughout the day. It seemed frustrating for the group to have erratic times to access our computers when we thought we were scheduled for rounds at 9:00am and 3:30pm. I am sincerely asking you to not take this in disrespect. Lastly, if I may defend myself this morning, we had been on the computer for 4 hours already and I merely suggested we take a break and recovene at our afternoon rounds to discuss the protocols for the cases in surgery. I know you expect us to be on the computer virtually to be equivalent to being in person, but it is extremely hard to stay focused and stay motivated at a desk. There are so many factors that I feel we should consider when learning online. I know I can speak for others on this rotation that we would wholeheartedly rather be in the hospital working alongside than behind our computer screen.

Plaintiff 058

#### Case 5:21-cv-006dk6h0RaVate uDveckinneinteA8k111ermRiAredn07f27ife88hesiRreoteat57nof 65

Dr. Di, we have been putting in the effort and I can proudly state that we have put together a collection of anesthesia material to take with us to practice. My rotation-mates and myself have been working on taking notes from everything we talk about and this document is over 40 pages long. Not to mention all of the material that we have been researching and storing.

I know this was extremely challenging for you and after working with you personally to help with some technical glitches, I'm extremely proud of you as a clinician. I know you hate technology, but you have come leaps and bounds in preparing for this rotation while learning along the way. I do not want you to leave this rotation with a bad taste in your mouth. If you would like to reconsider having afternoon rounds we will all be available at 3:30pm and we also have the case protocols for today's surgery cases prepped and ready to present.

Sincerely,

4/24/2020

# Kyre E. Larrabee

National Student AVMA Immediate Past President Zoetis Student Representative, OSU-CVHS Ambassador Zuku Review Student Representative OSU Center for Veterinary Health Sciences, 2020

Phone. 620-635-0442

Email. kyre.larrabee@okstate.edu

[Quoted text hidden]

Mizuno, Hisato <hmizuno@ostatemail.okstate.edu>

Fri, Apr 10, 2020 at 4:36 PM

To: Huma Valji <humavalji@gmail.com>, Tiffany Chisholm <tiffanylchisholm@gmail.com>, "Barritt, Alisha L" <alisha.barritt@okstate.edu>, "Kraft, Trey" <trey.kraft@okstate.edu>, "Larrabee, Kyre Ellen" <kyre.larrabee@okstate.edu>, "Stuart, Megan" <megan.stuart@okstate.edu>, "Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan" <jonariv@okstate.edu>, "Powell, Aubrey" <aubrey.powell10@okstate.edu>

Hisato D Mizuno (310) 612-6426 hmizuno@okstate.edu

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Mizuno, Hisato <hmizuno@ostatemail.okstate.edu>

Date: Fri, Apr 10, 2020 at 3:10 PM

Subject: Re: EARLY TERMINATION OF ANESTHESIA ROTATION

To: Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Dear Dr. Di,

Thank you very much for the past 3 weeks.

Regardless of the unprecedented situation, we were definitely encouraged by your enthusiasm and felt that we are effectively learning as much as we can in this unexperienced circumstance. I felt very happy being in this rotation, being able to learn a lot despite this condition, until I received the email that you were upset.

I assume we were one of the busiest rotations, which I am really grateful for since it was impossible to have the opportunity to do an in-hospital experience for now. That wouldn't have been accomplished without Dr. Di, all the anesthesia team and OSU's efforts.

I am very sorry for the words remote from our intentions. I do not recall who said that but I think that was not an intention. We definitely had difficulty not only with online education but also from the occasional bad connection. Indeed, we sometimes got kicked out of the meeting. It was not uncommon having voices break up, video freezing and difficult to understand. Although, that would not be an excuse to show an unprofessional attitude.

Honestly, I hope that I can continue this anesthesia rotation, learn more and more from you and finish it. Again, I am very sorry to betray your expectations.

Plaintiff 059

Sincerely,

Hisato D Mizuno (310) 612-6426 hmizuno@okstate.edu

On Fri, Apr 10, 2020 at 2:17 PM Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu> wrote: [Quoted text hidden]

#### Jonathan Rivera-pierola <jonariv@ostatemail.okstate.edu>

Fri, Apr 10, 2020 at 6:55 PM

To: "Di Concetto, Stefano" <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Cc: Huma Valji <humavalji@gmail.com>, Tiffany Chisholm <tiffanylchisholm@gmail.com>, "Barritt, Alisha L" <alisha.barritt@okstate.edu>, "Kraft, Trey" <trey.kraft@okstate.edu>, "Larrabee, Kyre Ellen" <kyre.larrabee@okstate.edu>, "Mizuno, Hisato" <hmizuno@okstate.edu>, "Powell, Aubrey" <aubrey.powell10@okstate.edu>, "Stuart, Megan" <megan.stuart@okstate.edu>, "Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan" <jonariv@okstate.edu>, "Burba, Daniel" <dburba@okstate.edu>, "Gilmour, Margi" <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

These are very stressful times, and the school has really done us a service to offer this class virtually. They are really doing their part to keep us safe from this virus and we should honor and appreciate them for doing it. I know that this virus is touching our lives in very personal ways, but we should take this opportunity to learn to be more patient and understanding and try to put ourselves in others shoes before speaking. I know that every person in this class is grateful to Dr. Di and the school for this opportunity and I'm sure that nothing that was said was with ill will. As Americans, we have never been placed in a situation where we feel such impotence. This sometimes makes us react to the situation without elegance. I am confident that through this discussion we can find the opportunity to see our parts in this situation and correct them for the future. Life is about learning, and sometimes learning through our mistakes to make us better people.

We appreciate you and all your efforts!

Regards,

Jonathan Rivera-Pierola, MPH

On Apr 10, 2020, at 2:17 PM, Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

#### Huma Valji <humavalji@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 10, 2020 at 7:32 PM

To: "Di Concetto, Stefano" <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Cc: Tiffany Chisholm <tiffanylchisholm@gmail.com>, "Barritt, Alisha L" <alisha.barritt@okstate.edu>, "Kraft, Trey" <trey.kraft@okstate.edu>, "Larrabee, Kyre Ellen" <kyre.larrabee@okstate.edu>, "Mizuno, Hisato" <hmizuno@okstate.edu>, "Powell, Aubrey" <aubrey.powell10@okstate.edu>, "Stuart, Megan" <megan.stuart@okstate.edu>, "Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan" <jonariv@okstate.edu>, "Burba, Daniel" <dburba@okstate.edu>, "Gilmour, Margi" <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Dr DiConcetto.

I sincerely apologize for any disrespect my colleagues and I have shown you. I truly appreciate all your efforts to go above and beyond to teach us as much as possible. Despite our limitations with online rotations, I was able to expand my foundation in anesthesia.

Once again I sincerely apologize on behalf of all my colleagues. Thank you so much for going the extra mile.

Thanks, Huma Valji

Plaintiff 060

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 10, 2020, at 2:17 PM, Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu> wrote:

Hello students in anesthesia rotaon 16,

[Quoted text hidden]

Plaintiff 061

From: Gilmour, Margi

Sent: Sunday, April 12, 2020 5:35 PM CDT To: Wilson, Robin <robin.wilson@okstate.edu>

Subject: FW: EARLY TERMINATION OF ANESTHESIA ROTATION

Interesting. Mr. MPH is the only one excusing the comment and making excuses.

From: Jonathan Rivera-pierola <jonariv@ostatemail.okstate.edu>

Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 5:55 PM

To: Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Cc:

Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan <jonariv@okstate.edu>; Burba, Daniel <dburba@okstate.edu>; Gilmour, Margi

<margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: Re: EARLY TERMINATION OF ANESTHESIA ROTATION

These are very stressful times, and the school has really done us a service to offer this class virtually. They are really doing their part to keep us safe from this virus and we should honor and appreciate them for doing it. I know that this virus is touching our lives in very personal ways, but we should take this opportunity to learn to be more patient and understanding and try to put ourselves in others shoes before speaking. I know that every person in this class is grateful to Dr. Di and the school for this opportunity and I'm sure that nothing that was said was with ill will. As Americans, we have never been placed in a situation where we feel such impotence. This sometimes makes us react to the situation without elegance. I am confident that through this discussion we can find the opportunity to see our parts in this situation and correct them for the future. Life is about learning, and sometimes learning through our mistakes to make us better people.

We appreciate you and all your efforts!

Regards,

Jonathan Rivera-Pierola, MPH

On Apr 10, 2020, at 2:17 PM, Di Concetto, Stefano < sdiconc@okstate.edu> wrote:

Hello students in anesthesia rotation 16.

As you know this has been a very trying time for everyone; we understand this is not how you expected to spend your anesthesia rotation. In addition, it was also not our expectation to run a service without any students. As professionals, though, it is an expectation that our student colleagues demonstrate patience, understanding and positive attitudes.

Unfortunately, I am disappointed with the negative attitude and comments that have been displayed by this rotation group. Unbeknownst to you, a comment was overheard on Wednesday 4/8 by faculty and staff that was completely unacceptable. The comment: "Thank God we have only two more days of this s\*\*\*" is unprofessional- it should have been met with stronger push back from participating students.

Additionally, if you were in the clinics, you would be expected to be available from 7a-5p and be on-call; as such, it is an expectation that you are available for rounds/case discussions/etc. from 7a-5p. Given the situation we are experiencing with Covid, I have not objected to you taking time away from your computer to take a break from learning and disperse in between morning and afternoon rounds, to run errands or else. Perhaps I should have been clearer from the beginning. Your job was to be engaged full time in this rotation, which at times means overworking. Spending longer than expected periods of time on the computer during this virtual rotations is equivalent to being here all day doing one case after the other, without time to eat or take a break. Many students in previous rotation had to do so.

I have been working tirelessly to provide you with as much 'in the moment' learning as possible; this is not an easy task. I would have appreciated a more collegial response from students who are about to join this profession in a few weeks. I am disheartened that you are not working tirelessly as we are in the hospital to get the most out of this rotation together. I appreciate the students who have not complained and who have put in the time and effort to make this experience worthwhile.

As clinic expectations grow and my physical and mental resources are overstretched, I believe it will be in our best interest to terminate the anesthesia rotation at this time, 2.15 pm, Friday April 10th, 2020. Today's afternoon rounds are cancelled.

Your grades will be posted sometime next week.

Best,

Stefano Di Concetto

#### Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 61 of 65

From: Wilson, Robin <robin.wilson@okstate.edu> Sent: Monday, April 13, 2020 7:23 AM CDT To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: Re: EARLY TERMINATION OF ANESTHESIA ROTATION

And he didn't exactly deny he is the one who said it.

Robin

On Apr 12, 2020, at 5:36 PM, Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu> wrote:

#### PS - wanna bet his mother wrote this?

From: Jonathan Rivera-pierola <jonariv@ostatemail.okstate.edu>

Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 5:55 PM

To: Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Cc: Huma Valji <humavalji@gmail.com>; Tiffany Chisholm <tiffanylchisholm@gmail.com>; Barritt, Alisha L <alisha.barritt@okstate.edu>; Kraft, Trey <trey.kraft@okstate.edu>; Larrabee, Kyre Ellen <kyre.larrabee@okstate.edu>; Mizuno, Hisato <hmizuno@okstate.edu>; Powell, Aubrey <aubrey.powell10@okstate.edu>; Stuart, Megan <megan.stuart@okstate.edu>; Rivera-Pierola, Jonathan <jonariv@okstate.edu>; Burba, Daniel <dburba@okstate.edu>; Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: Re: EARLY TERMINATION OF ANESTHESIA ROTATION

These are very stressful times, and the school has really done us a service to offer this class virtually. They are really doing their part to keep us safe from this virus and we should honor and appreciate them for doing it. I know that this virus is touching our lives in very personal ways, but we should take this opportunity to learn to be more patient and understanding and try to put ourselves in others shoes before speaking. I know that every person in this class is grateful to Dr. Di and the school for this opportunity and I'm sure that nothing that was said was with ill will. As Americans, we have never been placed in a situation where we feel such impotence. This sometimes makes us react to the situation without elegance. I am confident that through this discussion we can find the opportunity to see our parts in this situation and correct them for the future. Life is about learning, and sometimes learning through our mistakes to make us better people. We appreciate you and all your efforts!

we appreciate you and all your errorts

Regards,

Jonathan Rivera-Pierola, MPH

On Apr 10, 2020, at 2:17 PM, Di Concetto, Stefano < sdiconc@okstate.edu > wrote:

Hello students in anesthesia rotation 16,

As you know this has been a very trying time for everyone; we understand this is not how you expected to spend your anesthesia rotation. In addition, it was also not our expectation to run a service without any students. As professionals, though, it is an expectation that our student colleagues demonstrate patience, understanding and positive attitudes.

Unfortunately, I am disappointed with the negative attitude and comments that have been displayed by this rotation group. Unbeknownst to you, a comment was overheard on Wednesday 4/8 by faculty and staff that was completely unacceptable. The comment: "Thank God we have only two more days of this s\*\*\*" is unprofessional- it should have been met with stronger push back from participating students.

Additionally, if you were in the clinics, you would be expected to be available from 7a-5p and be on-call; as such, it is an expectation that you are available for rounds/case discussions/etc. from 7a-5p. Given the situation we are experiencing with Covid, I have not objected to you taking time away from your computer to take a break from learning and disperse in between morning and afternoon rounds, to run errands or else. Perhaps I should have been clearer from the beginning. Your job was to be engaged full time in this rotation, which at times means overworking. Spending longer than expected periods of time on the computer during this virtual rotations is equivalent to being here all day doing one case after the other, without time to eat or take a break. Many students in previous rotation had to do so.

I have been working tirelessly to provide you with as much 'in the moment' learning as possible; this is not an easy task. I would have appreciated a more collegial response from students who are about to join this profession in a few weeks. I am disheartened that you are not working tirelessly as we are in the hospital to get the most out of this rotation together. I appreciate the students who have not complained and who have

# Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 62 of 65

put in the time and effort to make this experience worthwhile.

As clinic expectations grow and my physical and mental resources are overstretched, I believe it will be in our best interest to terminate the anesthesia rotation at this time, 2.15 pm, Friday April 10th, 2020. Today's afternoon rounds are cancelled.

Your grades will be posted sometime next week.

Best,

Stefano Di Concetto

#### Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 63 of 65

From: Di Concetto, Stefano on behalf of Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Sent: Monday, April 20, 2020 5:38 PM CDT To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Subject: Re: Jonathan Rivera

It was not a matter of softening things or making exceptions but a way to prepare myself for what you will read below - Jonathan's expected reply to my notification about his failing grade.

Given the abnormal nature of the rotation, to me it would make sense to allow someone to repeat it once the circumstances are normalized, especially when, in his case, failing this unconventional rotation results in being dismissed from the program. And if the circumstances don't become normal soon enough, I think he should be at least allowed to retake the written quiz, with the agreement that if he fails it there will be no other chances.

SD

Hello Dr. Di,

I just read your email and I am left without words. I studied so hard for this course, reviewing all the concepts I could to make sure that I had grasp them properly, Sending you my questions to go over the things that I was unsure about and asking you for your feedback along the way. Please tell me what concepts I missed on the exam because I thought that I had done well. I know that I missed the final two questions due to time restraints but by no means did I think I failed it.

I have worked all of my life to get to this point. I have three months left of school to complete my veterinary medicine degree, is there anything that I can do to change this failing grade. If I fail this course I can no longer continue my studies. This would devastate me and my family both mentally and financially. I have devoted the last 12 years of my life to this and I'm willing to do anything at this point to finish these last three months and start my life as a veterinarian.

Regards, Jonathan Rivera-Pierola

From: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Sent: Friday, April 17, 2020 5:12 PM

To: Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Subject: RE: Jonathan Rivera

Hello Stefano,

My suggestion is to evaluate Jonathan according to your revised (COVID-19) syllabus and as the other students were evaluated. You do not need to alter, soften or make any exceptions.

#### Margi

From: Di Concetto, Stefano <sdiconc@okstate.edu>

Sent: Friday, April 17, 2020 2:23 PM

To: Gilmour, Margi <margi.gilmour@okstate.edu>

Cc: Naff, Adam <adam.naff@okstate.edu>; Kershaw, Lucinda <lucinda.kershaw@okstate.edu>

Subject: Jonathan Rivera

	Possible Points	John Rivera
General knowledge	200	140
Case work-up and presentation	100	80
Assignments and topics	100	85
Professional conduct	100	90
TOT	500	395
		79%
Written exam	150	99.6
		66.4%

Hello,

before making Jonathan's grades official I wanted to touch base as I understand his situation is quite delicated. Below is what I wrote in his assessment.

Given the nature of the Covid emergency and the rapid adjusting to online teaching needed, I did my best to try and take notes on what each student said every day. However, I am not in the position to provide a detailed report.

# Case 5:21-cv-00616-PRW Document 43-11 Filed 07/27/23 Page 64 of 65

My subjective assessment (see below in red) is that Jonathan's performance was at a C level, he did reasonably well in the open book assignments and was not super-active in rounds. He bombed the final exam and given the fact that there is not assessment of his clinical competencies, the exam remains the most objective way to evaluate his performance. Note that the exam grade would have been even lower: I gave three points back to everyone.

I thought it might be worth giving him the opportunity to repeat the rotation once things are back to normal and we can work with him in the clinics.

Let me know what you think.

SD

#### Jonathan Rivera

This evaluation is based on subjective and objective assessment of your foundation knowledge of anesthesia, physiology, pathophysiology and other disciplines relevant to anesthesia. Due to the restrictions imposed by the on-line activity, this assessment does not include your clinical competencies and only partly reflects your ability to apply theoretical knowledge to clinical scenarios.

My overall impression is that your foundation knowledge and understanding of anesthesia and related physiology and pathophysiology is not as strong as it should be at this point in your curriculum. There seem to be areas of weakness and lack of clarity and unfortunately the outcome of the written exam confirms this.



Office of the Dean 205 McElroy Hall Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078

Phone: (405) 744-6648 Fax: (405) 744-6633

April 21, 2020

Dear Jonathan,

I am very sorry to inform you that due to receiving a D grade in the Anesthesia rotation (rotation 16), per the Academic Suspension guidelines outlined in the letter dated 4-6-20, you are dismissed from the OSU CVM clinical year program with no Professional Standards Committee review or appeal.

The Dean has reviewed your academic record and has approved the dismissal action.

It is with deep regret we relay this decision.

Sincerely,

Margi Gilmour, DVM, DACVO

Margi A- Siemour

Associate Dean for Academic Affairs